

Sets and Logic
MHF3202 7860

Class-B

Prof. JLF King
Friday, 22Mar2024 5 10 10



The *Threeish-numbers* comprise $\mathcal{T} := 1 + 3\mathbb{N}$.

\mathcal{T} -number $385 \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} 35 \cdot 11$ is \mathcal{T} -irreducible: \mathcal{T} F

B4: Short answer. Show no work. Write LARGE.

Write **DNE** if the object does not exist or the operation cannot be performed. NB: **DNE** $\neq \{\}$ $\neq 0$.



15 For a LOR (letter-of-recommendation), Prof. K requires two courses, or a Special Topics [e.g, my NUMBER THEORY AND CRYPTOGRAPHY], or graduate course Circle:

Yes

True

Darn tootin'!

Irr Soln: *False*; $35 = 7 \cdot 5$. So $385 = 7 \cdot [5 \cdot 11]$ is a non-trivial *Threeish*-factorization of 385.

Threeish $N := 85$ is **not** \mathcal{T} -prime because \mathcal{T} -numbers $J :=$ _____ and $K :=$ _____ satisfy
 [.....] [.....]
 that $N \nmid [J \cdot K]$, **yet** $N \nmid J$ and $N \nmid K$.

Prime Solution: Say that an integer k is *3Neg* if $k \equiv_3 -1$, and *3Pos* if $k \equiv_3 +1$. Note $85 = 5 \cdot 17$ is a product of two 3Neg primes. We simply need to place one prime in J and the other in K . Hence a solution is $(J, K) := (5 \cdot 5, 17 \cdot 17)$.

A more general soln is $(J, K) := (5p, 17q)$. where p, q are 3Neg numbers st. $p \nmid 17$ and $q \nmid 5$. Letting $p = q := 2$ yields $(J, K) := (10, 34)$ as the smallest soln.

Also, $\mathcal{T}\text{-GCD}(175, 70) = 7$. $175 = 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 5$ and
 [..... 70 = 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 2]

c On a K -elt set Ω , the number $\#_K$ of reflexive symmetric binrels is $2^{\binom{K}{2}} = 2^{\frac{[K-1]K}{2}}$.

In particular, $\#_5 = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$.


Counting: A refl-binrel owns all pairs (μ, μ) , for $\mu \in \Omega$. The # of 2-sets $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is $\binom{K}{2}$. For each 2-set, either both pairs (α, β) and (β, α) are in the *symmetric* relation, or both are out; **two** choices, whence $2^{\binom{K}{2}}$ refl-symm binrels.

Hence: $\#_5 = 2^{\binom{5}{2}} = 2^{10} = 1024$.

d On a 3-set, there are $\underline{5}$ many equiv.relations.

Partitions. Let P_n be the number of ptns having precisely n nv-atoms. Then $P_1 = \binom{3}{3} = 1$, $P_2 = \binom{3}{1,2} = 3$, $P_3 = \binom{3}{1,1,1}/3! = 1$.

URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partition_of_a_set has examples of Partition Pictures. ♦

10 10  Multinomial coefficient $\binom{9}{4, 2, 3} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}.$
 [Write your answer as a product of binomial coeffs, then compute the product as a single integer,]

Nomial Soln: Directly, $\binom{9}{4, 2, 3} = \frac{9!}{4! \cdot 2! \cdot 3!}.$ Com-

puting, $\binom{9}{4, 2, 3} = \binom{9}{4} \cdot \binom{5}{2} \cdot \binom{3}{3} = \frac{9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} \cdot \frac{5 \cdot 4}{2 \cdot 1}.$

Hence, $\binom{9}{4, 2, 3} = 9 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 = [63 \cdot 2] \cdot 10 = 1260.$

Lisp code:

```
(multinom-coeff 9 '(4 2 3)) => 1260
```

OYOP: *In grammatical English **sentences**, write each essay on every 2nd line (usually), so that I can easily write between the lines.*

B5: Consider a strict well-order \prec on set \mathbf{U} , and a strict well-order $<$ on $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. Define binrel \ll on $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$ by:

$$(b, \alpha) \ll (c, \beta)$$

IFF *Either* $b \prec c$ *or* $[b = c \text{ and } \alpha < \beta]$.

Prove: Relation \ll is a well-order on $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$.

[You may assume that \ll is a total-order.]

Well-order: Consider a non-empty subset $Q \subset \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$.
Extracting the 1st-elt of each ordered-pair, the set

$$\left\{ b \in \mathbf{U} \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbf{\Gamma} \text{ with } (b, \alpha) \in Q \right\}$$

is non-void, hence has a \prec -minimum elt; call it \mathbf{m} .

Extracting 2nd-elements, the set

$$\left\{ \beta \in \mathbf{\Gamma} \mid (\mathbf{m}, \beta) \in Q \right\}$$

is non-void, so *it* has a $<$ -minimum elt which we'll call μ .

THE UPSHOT: Pair (\mathbf{m}, μ) is the \ll -minimum element of subset Q .

B6: Define: “On a set E , a binary relation ∇ is an *equivalence relation* IFF...”. *Make sure to define any terms like “reflexive” that you use in your defn.!*

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of ordered integer-pairs (n, d) , with $d \neq 0$. Define relation C on \mathbb{P} by

$$(N, D) C (x, y) \quad \text{IFF} \quad N \cdot y = x \cdot D.$$

Prove, in detail, that C is an equivalence relation.

[Only work in \mathbb{Z} ; do not use fractions.]

B4: ____ ____ 95pts

B5: ____ ____ 45pts

B6: ____ ____ 45pts

Total: ____ ____ ____ 185pts

NAME: _____

HONOR CODE: *"I have neither requested nor received help on this exam other than from my professor."*

Signature: *Energetic Student* _____