

Volume of the \mathfrak{D} -ball and the Gamma function

Jonathan L.F. King
University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA
squash@ufl.edu
Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>
14 April, 2017 (at 12:21)

ABSTRACT: (See “Joe’s Circle Puzzle” for an application of this, in PROBLEMS NB)

We are born knowing that... For $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the $[\mathfrak{D}-1]$ -sphere of radius $R \geq 0$ is the set of tuples

$$*: \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\mathfrak{D}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times \mathfrak{D}}$$

with $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = R^2$. In contrast, the \mathfrak{D} -ball, for $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbb{N}$, comprises those (*) with $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 \leq R^2$. So the $[\mathfrak{D}-1]$ -sphere is the surface of the \mathfrak{D} -ball.

Examples. The 2-sphere is the usual sphere; the 1-sphere is the circle; the 0-sphere comprises the two points $\pm R$, which are the endpoints of the 1-ball $[-R, R]$, which is an interval.

The 2-ball is the disk, and the 3-ball is the usual (solid) ball, e.g a bowling ball. (The 0-ball is the one point of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 0}$; the empty tuple.) \square

Prolegomena. Define constants $\beta_{\mathfrak{D}}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}}$, for $\mathfrak{D} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, from the ball of radius R :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}} &:= \mathfrak{D}\text{-volume of the } \mathfrak{D}\text{-ball;} \\ \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}} &:= \mathfrak{D}\text{-SurfaceArea of } [\mathfrak{D}+1]\text{-ball} \end{aligned}$$

For a ball, surface area is the growth rate of volume and so $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} R^{\mathfrak{D}-1}$ equals

$$\lim_{\Delta R \searrow 0} \frac{\beta_{\mathfrak{D}}[R + \Delta R]^{\mathfrak{D}} - \beta_{\mathfrak{D}}R^{\mathfrak{D}}}{\Delta R} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \frac{d}{dR}(\beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}}).$$

Consequently

$$1: \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} = \mathfrak{D} \cdot \beta_{\mathfrak{D}}, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{D} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

(Do we realize, for $\mathfrak{D} = 2, 1$, that we already knew (1); we just didn’t know that we knew it?)

Let $J := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} dx$. We use the **PCT** (“polar coordinate trick”) to show that $J = \sqrt{\pi}$. We integrate the cartesian-square of the integrand to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[x^2]} dx \right] \cdot \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[y^2]} dy \right] \\ 2: \quad &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[x^2+y^2]} \cdot d(x, y) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-R^2} \cdot \underbrace{2\pi R \cdot dR}_{\substack{\text{Area of radius-}R \text{ annulus} \\ \text{of thickness } dR}} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

Hence $J^2 = \pi \cdot [-e^{-R^2}] \Big|_{R=0}^{R=+\infty} = \pi$. Since J is the integral of a non-negative fnc, necessarily $J \geq 0$. Hence

$$3: \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} dx = \pi^{1/2}.$$

A Device used Twice is a Technique.

For \mathfrak{D} a posint, then, $\pi^{\mathfrak{D}/2}$ equals the product

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^{1/2}]^{\mathfrak{D}} &= \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[x_1]^2} dx_1 \right] \cdot \dots \cdot \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[x_{\mathfrak{D}}]^2} dx_{\mathfrak{D}} \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-[x_1^2 + \dots + x_{\mathfrak{D}}^2]} \cdot d(x_1, \dots, x_{\mathfrak{D}}). \end{aligned}$$

Converting this last integral into polar coordinates yields

$$2b: \quad \pi^{\mathfrak{D}/2} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-R^2} \cdot \underbrace{\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} R^{\mathfrak{D}-1} \cdot dR}_{\substack{\text{Infinitesimal "area" of} \\ \text{thickened } [\mathfrak{D}-1]\text{-sphere}}} \cdot$$

Here $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} R^{\mathfrak{D}-1} dR$ is the $[\mathfrak{D}-1]$ -area of the spherical shell of radius R and thickness dR . Let’s now explore this last integral.

The Gamma Function

For each $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbb{R}$, let $I_{\mathfrak{D}} := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-R^2} R^{\mathfrak{D}-1} dR$. For $\mathfrak{D} \leq 0$ this integral is $+\infty$; we henceforth will only consider $\mathfrak{D} \geq 0$. Courtesy the substitutions $2K + 2 := \mathfrak{D}$ and $t := R^2$, we have that

$$I_{\mathfrak{D}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-R^2} R^{2K} 2R dR = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t} t^K dt.$$

This RhS suggests defining a fnc which is traditionally called the Gamma fnc:

$$4: \quad \Gamma(K + 1) := \int_0^{+\infty} t^K e^{-t} dt, \quad \text{for } K \in (-1, \infty).$$

Hence $I_n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})$. Solving for $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1}$ in (2b) thus yields

$$5: \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} = \frac{\pi^{\mathfrak{D}/2}}{I_n} = 2 \cdot \frac{\pi^{\mathfrak{D}/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Computing $\Gamma()$. Evidently $t^K[-e^{-t}]|_{t=0}^{t=\infty}$ is zero, once $K > 0$. So integrating RhS(4) by parts yields: For all real $K > 0$,

$$\Gamma(K + 1) = 0 - \int_0^\infty [-e^{-t}] \cdot Kt^K dt = K \cdot \Gamma(K).$$

Together with (5), this yields $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}+1} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-1} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\mathfrak{D}}$. Combining this with (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}} &= \sigma_{\mathfrak{D}-2} \cdot \frac{2}{\mathfrak{D}-1} \cdot \pi, \\ \beta_{\mathfrak{D}} &= \beta_{\mathfrak{D}-2} \cdot \frac{2}{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot \pi. \end{aligned}$$

for each $\mathfrak{D} \in [2.. \infty)$.

SurArea and Vol of the \mathfrak{D} -ball

We know that $\sigma_0 = 2$ [an interval has 2 endpts] and that $\sigma_1 = 2\pi$. So (6) allows us to fill-in the $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}}$ column below. Then (1) allows us to fill-in the $\beta_{\mathfrak{D}}$ column.

\mathfrak{D}	$\beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}}$	$\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}}$
0	1	2
1	$2 \cdot R$	$2\pi \cdot R$
2	$\pi \cdot R^2$	$4\pi \cdot R^2$
3	$\frac{4}{3}\pi \cdot R^3$	$2\pi^2 \cdot R^3$
4	$\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \cdot R^4$	$\frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \cdot R^4$
5	$\frac{8}{15}\pi^2 \cdot R^5$	$\pi^3 \cdot R^5$
6	$\frac{1}{6}\pi^3 \cdot R^6$	$\frac{16}{15}\pi^3 \cdot R^6$
7	$\frac{16}{105}\pi^3 \cdot R^7$	$\frac{1}{3}\pi^4 \cdot R^7$
8	$\frac{1}{24}\pi^4 \cdot R^8$	$\frac{32}{105}\pi^4 \cdot R^8$
9	$\frac{32}{945}\pi^4 \cdot R^9$	$\frac{1}{12}\pi^5 \cdot R^9$
10	$\frac{1}{120}\pi^5 \cdot R^{10}$	$\frac{64}{945}\pi^5 \cdot R^{10}$
11	$\frac{64}{10395}\pi^5 \cdot R^{11}$	$\frac{1}{60}\pi^6 \cdot R^{11}$
12	$\frac{1}{720}\pi^6 \cdot R^{12}$	$\frac{128}{10395}\pi^6 \cdot R^{12}$

We can get a non-recursive formula for $\sigma_{\mathfrak{D}}$ if we are willing to use factorial notation.

Since $\int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt = 1$, we can summarize the foregoing as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(0) &= +\infty; \\ \Gamma(1/2) &= \sqrt{\pi}; \\ \Gamma(1) &= 1; \\ \Gamma(x) &= [x-1] \cdot \Gamma(x-1), \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Centroids

Our goal here is to find the centroid of a hemi-ball and hemi-sphere. Measuring from the center of the radius- R ball/sphere of dimension \mathfrak{D} , define constants $\mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R &:= \text{Dist-to-centroid of the } \mathfrak{D}\text{-hemi-ball}; \\ \mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot R &:= \text{Dist-to-centroid of the } \mathfrak{D}\text{-hemi-sphere}. \end{aligned}$$

We will compute this using an integral in polar coords of an angle θ . For $K \neq -1$, note that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin(\theta)^K \cos(\theta) \cdot d\theta = \frac{1}{K+1}.$$

Henceforth use \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{s} for $\cos(\theta)$ and $\sin(\theta)$. For the $[\mathfrak{D}+1]$ -hemi-ball

$$\text{Vol} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \underbrace{\beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot [Rs]^{\mathfrak{D}}}_{\text{Area of slice}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{s} \cdot R d\theta}_{\text{Thickness of slice}}.$$

We already know that the value of this integral is $\frac{1}{2}\beta_{\mathfrak{D}+1} \cdot R^{\mathfrak{D}+1}$. The *Total Torque Relative to Zero* is computed by the same integral, together with a lever arm.

$$\text{TTRZ} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \underbrace{\beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot [Rs]^{\mathfrak{D}}}_{\text{Area}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbf{s} \cdot R d\theta}_{\text{Thickness}} \cdot \underbrace{R\mathbf{c}}_{\text{Lever arm}}.$$

Courtesy (8),

$$\text{TTRZ} = R^{\mathfrak{D}+2} \beta_{\mathfrak{D}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D} + 2}.$$

The quotient gives the centroid.

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{D}+1} = \frac{\text{TTRZ}}{\text{Vol}} = \frac{\beta_{\mathfrak{D}}}{\beta_{\mathfrak{D}+1}} \cdot \frac{2}{\mathfrak{D} + 2}.$$