

## Vector Fields

Jonathan L.F. King

University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
squash@ufl.edu

Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>

17 November, 2017 (at 12:02)

**Prolegomenon.** We have an innerproduct space  $\mathbf{V}$ ; use  $\mathbf{V} := \mathbb{R}^2$  for the time being. A subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{V}$  is a **domain** if  $\Omega$  is non-void, open and connected. (The “connected” isn’t really necessary.)

Henceforth  $J$  denotes a closed interval  $[a, b]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . A **path**  $\Gamma$  (in  $\Omega$ ) can be described by a *piecewise- $\mathbf{C}^1$*  parameterization  $\mathbf{r}: J \rightarrow \Omega$ . Path  $\Gamma$  starts at point  $\text{Start}(\Gamma) := \mathbf{r}(a)$  and ends at  $\text{Stop}(\Gamma) := \mathbf{r}(b)$  (So, technically, a path can be viewed as an equivalence class of parameterizations.)

Use  $-\Gamma$  for  $\Gamma$  traversed backwards. Each parameterization  $\mathbf{r}: J \rightarrow \Omega$  of  $\Gamma$  yields a parameterization  $\mathbf{q}: J \rightarrow \Omega$  of  $-\Gamma$  by defining

$$\mathbf{q}(t) := \mathbf{r}(b+a-t).$$

A “**vectorfield**  $\mathbf{F}$  on  $\Omega$ ” is a mapping  $\mathbf{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ ; usually we ask that the v.f be at least cts (continuous).

The **path integral** of a v.f over a path is

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle \mathbf{F}, d\mathbf{r} \rangle := \int_J \langle \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)), \mathbf{r}'(t) \rangle dt.$$

A field is **conservative** if, for each *closed loop*  $\Gamma$ , the integral  $\int_{\Gamma} \langle \mathbf{F}, d\mathbf{r} \rangle$  is zero.

A field  $\mathbf{F}$  is a **gradient v.f** if there exists a  $\mathbf{C}^1$ -function  $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $\nabla h = \mathbf{F}$ . This  $h$  is called a **potential-fnc** of  $\mathbf{F}$ . (Evidently  $h+17$  is another potential-fnc for  $\mathbf{F}$ .)

**1: Fund. Thm of Path Integrals (FTPI).** On  $\Omega$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla h, d\mathbf{r} \rangle = h(\text{Stop}(\Gamma)) - h(\text{Start}(\Gamma))$$

for each potential-function  $h$  and each path  $\Gamma$ . ◇

Write a vectorfield as  $\mathbf{F} = \alpha \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \beta \hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  are the **component fncs** of  $\mathbf{F}$ . Suppose this  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla h$ . Then  $h_x = \alpha$  and  $h_y = \beta$ . By equality (Clairaut’s thm) of the mixed 2<sup>nd</sup>-partials of  $h$ , automatically  $\beta_x = \alpha_y$ . The difference

$$2: \quad \text{k-curl}_{\mathbf{F}}() := \beta_x() - \alpha_y()$$

is a map  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which will be useful in the sequel. We read ‘ $\text{k-curl}_{\mathbf{F}}(P)$ ’ as “the **k-curl** of  $\mathbf{F}$  at (point)  $P$ ”.

For a gradient v.f, the  $\text{k-curl}^{\heartsuit 1}$  is identically zero; such a field is said to be **irrotational**.

**Example 1.** For a vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $\text{Turn}(\mathbf{w})$  be the vector which is  $\mathbf{w}$  rotated CCW (counterclockwise) by a right-angle.

Let  $\sigma$  be a “strength fnc” on the posreals. Define a corresponding v.f  $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma}$  by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{w}) := \sigma(\|\mathbf{w}\|) \cdot \text{Turn}(\mathbf{w}) / \|\mathbf{w}\|.$$

Letting  $C_{\rho}$  be the radius- $\rho$  circle oriented CCW, we have that

$$3: \quad \int_{C_{\rho}} \langle \mathbf{F}_{\sigma}, d\mathbf{r} \rangle = \sigma(\rho) \cdot 2\pi\rho.$$

So certainly  $\mathbf{F}_{\sigma}$  is not conservative. □

**Example 2.** Take a  $\sigma$  so that integral (3) is independent of  $\rho$ ; say  $\sigma(\rho) := 1/\rho$ . Let  $\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{F}_{\sigma}$  be the corresponding vectorfield; it is defined on the *punctured plane*, which we will write as  $\Omega^{\circ}$ . It can be shown that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle \mathbf{G}, d\mathbf{r} \rangle = 0$$

for each closed-loop  $\Gamma \subset \Omega^{\circ}$  which *does not go around the origin*.<sup>♡2</sup> (Proof-idea: Approximate  $\Gamma$  by a finite sequence of circle-arcs and radial segments.) In  $x, y$ -coordinates our  $\mathbf{G}$  equals  $\alpha \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \beta \hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , where

$$4: \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -y/[x^2 + y^2] \quad \text{and} \\ \beta &= x/[x^2 + y^2]. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise:** Use (4) and (2) to verify that  $\mathbf{G}$  is irrotational.

To what extent is there a real-valued fnc  $h$  so that  $\nabla h = \mathbf{G}$ ? Expressed in polar-coords, suppose that  $Q := (\rho, \theta)$  is a particular point in  $\Omega^{\circ}$ . The map  $\varphi \mapsto (\rho, \varphi)$ , as angle  $\varphi$  strolls from 0 to  $\theta$ , parameterizes an oriented arc of circle, call it  $\Gamma_Q$ , going from point  $(\rho, 0)$  to  $Q$ . Hence

$$\int_{\Gamma_Q} \langle \mathbf{F}_{\sigma}, d\mathbf{r} \rangle = \theta,$$

<sup>♡1</sup>Difference (2) is the  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ -component of the curl of a 3-dim vectorfield, whence the name k-curl.

<sup>♡2</sup>Indeed, more generally, the integral is zero for each closed-loop  $\Gamma$  with *winding number* zero.

and does not depend on  $\rho$ . So the definition

$$5: \quad h(\rho, \theta) := \theta$$

would give a potential-fnc  $h$  for the  $\mathbf{G}$  vectorfield —*if* we had a consistent way to assign real numbers to geometric angles on a circle. The problem is that *distinct* reals, e.g  $\theta$  and  $\theta+2\pi$ , name the same geometric angle.

To finesse this difficulty, agree to slit the plane along a ray from the origin. On this slit-plane our (restricted)  $\mathbf{G}$  is a gradient v.f. We can rewrite fnc (5) in cartesian coords as

$$5': \quad h(x, y) := \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right).$$

Comparing to (4), one can verify<sup>♥3</sup> that  $h_x = \alpha$  and  $h_y = \beta$ , at those points where (??') is well-defined.  $\square$

*Defn.* A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is **simply-connected** if each closed-loop  $\Gamma \subset \Omega$  can be contracted (always staying within  $\Omega$ ) to a point. For example, a sphere or punctured sphere is simply-connected. However a *doubly*-punctured sphere is not. Neither a torus nor a solid-torus is simply-connected.  $\square$

**6: Theorem.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{F}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous vectorfield in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then

$$[\mathbf{F} \text{ a gradient v.f.}] \iff [\mathbf{F} \text{ conservative}]. \quad \diamond$$

The following thm generalizes to higher dimensions, but we'll state it in dimension 2.

**7: Theorem.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{F}$  is a  $\mathbf{C}^1$ -vectorfield on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then

$$[\mathbf{F} \text{ a gradient v.f.}] \implies [\mathbf{F} \text{ is irrotational}].$$

*If  $\Omega$  is simply-connected, then the converse holds.*  $\diamond$

Filename: Problems/Analysis/Calculus/vectorfield.tex  
As of: Wednesday 15Mar2006. Typeset: 17Nov2017 at 12:02.

---

<sup>♥3</sup>That  $y/x$  is undefined at  $x = 0$  is an artifact of using cartesian coordinates (and the arbitrary choice of domain for arctan). After all, the expression  $\arctan(y/x)$  extends continuously over a slit plane.