

U1: *Show no work.*

a A multivariate polynomial, where each monomial has the same degree, is circle

monogamous atrocious gregarious
 monic expialadocious homogeneous
 manic unitary Unitarian utilitarian

b With $G(x) := \sin(\sin(x))$, a soln to $y'' - y = G$ is $y := f \otimes G$,

where $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} [e^x - e^{-x}]$.

Soln: The general ZeroTar soln f to $f'' - f = 0$ is $f(x) = \alpha e^x + \beta e^{-x}$. We need numbers α, β so that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = \frac{1}{1}$. So $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$.

c Fncs $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ satisfy this system of DEs,

$$\begin{aligned} x' + x - 3y &= 0, \\ y' + 6x - 8y &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It can be written as $Y' = M \cdot Y$,

where $Y := \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ and M is matrix $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ -6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$.

Characteristic poly of M is $\varphi_M(z) = z^2 - 7z + 10$

A soln has $x(t)$ a linear combination of $e^{\alpha t}$ and $e^{\beta t}$ for numbers $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 5$.

Soln: DE-System. Char-poly $\varphi_M(z)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(M - z\mathbf{I}) &= [-1 - z] \cdot [8 - z] - 3 \cdot [-6] \\ &= z^2 - 7z + 10 \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} [z - 2] \cdot [z - 5]. \end{aligned}$$

d Op $L(y) := 3t^2y'' + 5ty' - y$ is equidim'nal. The

gen.soln to $L(y)=0$ is $y(t) = \alpha \cdot t^{1/3} + \beta \cdot t^{-1}$.

EquiDimensional Soln: What real numbers \mathbf{r} satisfy $L(t^{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$? Note that $L(t^{\mathbf{r}}) = t^{\mathbf{r}} \cdot q(\mathbf{r})$, where $q(z) := 3z^2 + [5 - 3]z - 1 = 3z^2 + 2z - 1$.


Here, $\text{Discr}(q) = 2^2 - 4 \cdot 3 \cdot [-1] = 4^2$. So the q -roots are $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3}[-2 \pm 4]$, ie. $1/3$ and -1 . Hence the general y with

$L(y)=0$ is $y(t) = \alpha \cdot t^{1/3} + \beta \cdot t^{-1}$.

Aside. For cut&paste:

$$3 t^2 y''(t) + 5 t y'(t) - y(t) = 0$$

□

 Inverse of $C := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is $C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Conjugating $W := \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -5 \\ 10 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$ by C

gives diagonal matrix $D := C^{-1}WC = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \\ & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus the (2,1)-entry of e^{tW} is $-2e^{-t} + 2e^{4t}$.

Soln: Firstly, $C^{-1} = \frac{1}{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, since $\text{Det}(C) = -1$. Multiplying, $D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \\ & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. With placeholders α, β , compute

$$*: \quad C \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{bmatrix} \cdot C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha + 2\beta & \alpha - \beta \\ -2\alpha + 2\beta & 2\alpha - \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

[We only need the the **lower-LHand entry**, but computing the entire matrix allows to check that: *Plugging $\alpha := -1$ and $\beta := 4$ in to (*), replaces the placeholder matrix by D , hence produces W .*] In (*), replacing the placeholder by diagonal matrix

$$e^{Dt} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} & \\ & e^{4t} \end{bmatrix},$$

produces e^{Wt} . With $\alpha := e^{-t}$ and $\beta := e^{4t}$, the (*) **lower-LHand entry** is $-2e^{-t} + 2e^{4t}$.

Aside. For cut&paste:

```
inverse({{1, 1},{2,1}})
[inverse({{1, 1},{2,1}})] . {{9, -5},{10,-6}} . {{1, 1},{2,1}}
{{1, 1}, {2, 1}} . {{A, 0}, {0, B}} . {{-1, 1}, {2, -1}}
```

□

f \mathbb{R} -matrices U, G, R are 3×3 , with U invertible and R nilpotent. [Use \mathbf{I} for the 3×3 identity matrix.]

Matrix URU^{-1} is nilpotent: \boxed{AT} AF Nei

Each entry of e^{tR} is a polynomial: \boxed{AT} AF Nei

Matrix e^R is nilpotent: AT \boxed{AF} Nei

Matrix e^R is invertible, hence never nilpotent.

R^2 is the zero-matrix: AT AF \boxed{Nei}

Zero-matrix is “yes”, and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is “no”.

Matrix $e^{[G+I]G}$ equals $e^G \cdot e^{G^2}$: \boxed{AT} AF Nei

Note $[G + \mathbf{I}]G = G^2 + G = G + G^2$. And $G \not\leftrightarrow G^2$.

Matrix $e^{[G^2]}$ equals $[e^G]^2$: AT AF \boxed{Nei}

For the zero-matrix, $\mathbf{0}$, note $e^{[\mathbf{0}^2]} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}^2 = [e^{\mathbf{0}}]^2$; so “yes”. But for identity-matrix: $e^{[\mathbf{I}^2]} = e^{\mathbf{I}} = e \cdot \mathbf{I}$. Yet $[e^{\mathbf{I}}]^2 = [e \cdot \mathbf{I}]^2 = e^2 \cdot \mathbf{I}$. As $e^2 \neq e$, this shows “no”.

U2: *Show no work.*

Suppose $y(0) = -2$, $y'(0) = 5$, $y''(0) = 2$. Then $\mathcal{L}(y^{(3)} + y^{(2)} + 3y)(s)$ equals $[[B(s) \cdot \widehat{y}(s)] + C(s)]$ for **polynomials**

$$B(s) = s^3 + s^2 + 3$$

$$\text{and } C(s) = 2s^2 - 3s - 7$$

Soln: Polys. Our $B(s)$ is the aux-poly of the DOp. Summing over natnum pairs (j, k) , recall formula

$$\mathcal{L}(y^{(N)})(s) = s^N \cdot \widehat{y}(s) - \left[\sum_{j+k=N-1} y^{(k)}(0) \cdot s^j \right].$$

Applied at $N = 0, 1, 2$, this says our $C(s)$ equals ...

Aside. For cut&paste:

`LaplaceTransform[y''''(t) + y''(t) + 3y(t), t, s]`

`LaplaceTransform{y''''(t) + y''(t) + 3y(t) = 0, y(0)=-2, y'(0)=5, y''(0)=2}`



U1: ___ ___ ___ 145pts

U2: ___ ___ 20pts

Total: ___ ___ ___ 165pts