

T1: Show no work.

a Prof. King thinks that submitting a ROBERT LONG PRIZE ESSAY [typically 2 prizes, \$500 total] is a *really good idea*, and the due date for the emailed-PDF is typically mid-March. Circle:

Yes True

Résumé material!

b Bacteria with birth-multiplier $B :: \frac{1}{\text{min}}$ are in a petri dish with carrying capacity $C :: \text{oz}$. The population, $p(t) :: \text{oz}$, satisfies the Logistic DE.

The DE is
$$p'(t) = B \cdot \left[1 - \frac{p(t)}{C}\right] \cdot p(t)$$

For *Hysteria* bacteria, $B = \frac{1/5}{\text{min}}$. This petri dish has $C=16\text{oz}$, with initial population $p_0 = 2\text{oz}$. The time when *Hysteria* has reached half the carrying capacity

is $\text{min} \approx \text{min}$.

[NB: You may use $\exp()$ and $\log()$ to express your answer.]

Hysterical Soln: DfyNotes showed that

$$p(t) = \frac{C}{1 + \left[\frac{C}{p_0} - 1\right] \cdot e^{-B \cdot t}}$$

So we seek the time, τ , when $\left[\frac{C}{p_0} - 1\right] \cdot e^{-B \cdot \tau} = 1$, i.e. $e^{B \cdot \tau} = \frac{C}{p_0} - 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{1}{B} \cdot \log\left(\frac{C}{p_0} - 1\right) = 5 \text{ min} \cdot \log\left(\frac{16\text{oz}}{2\text{oz}} - 1\right) \\ &= 5 \cdot \log(7) \cdot \text{min} . \end{aligned}$$

Note $2.7 < e$, so $7.29 = [2.7]^2 < e^2$. Thus $7^{\text{little bit}} < e^2$. I.e., $\log(7)$ is bit less than 2. So $\tau \approx 5 \cdot 2 \text{ min} = 10 \text{ min}$.

ESTIMATING τ without

SOLVING THE DE: In 5min, the Malthusian model multiplies the population by e (i.e. continuous compounding). Thus $e \cdot 2 \text{ oz} < 2.8 \cdot 2 \text{ oz} = 5.6 \text{ oz} < 8 \text{ oz} = \frac{C}{2}$. So $\tau > 5 \text{ min}$.

For Logistic model @time-zero, the birth-rate, $p'(0 \text{ min})$, is

$$B \cdot \left[1 - \frac{p_0}{C}\right] \cdot p_0 = B \cdot \left[1 - \frac{2 \text{ oz}}{16 \text{ oz}}\right] \cdot 2 \text{ oz} = \frac{7}{20} \frac{\text{oz}}{\text{min}}$$

If the new bacteria were sterile, then B-R stays at $\frac{7}{20} \frac{\text{oz}}{\text{min}}$. We need to increase the pop. by $\frac{C}{2} - p_0 = 6\text{oz}$. Hence the time, t_{sterile} , needed in this sterile model is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{7}{20} \frac{\text{oz}}{\text{min}} \cdot t_{\text{sterile}} &= 6\text{oz}, \quad \text{i.e.} \\ t_{\text{sterile}} &= \frac{120}{7} \text{min} \stackrel{\text{note}}{<} 17.2 \text{ min} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $5 \text{ min} < \tau < 17.2 \text{ min}$.

The actual value is

$$\tau = 5 \cdot \log(7) \cdot \text{min} \approx 9.73 \text{ min} .$$

ASIDE: Had we been willing, in the Malthusian model [MM], to estimate logarithm, then we would have gotten a better lower-bound for τ . DfyNotes showed that the doubling-time for MM is $\frac{\log(2)}{B} = \log(2) \cdot 5 \text{ min}$. Doubling twice will carry p_0 to 8 oz, so the MM-time is

$$\log(2) \cdot 10 \text{ min} \stackrel{\text{note}}{>} 6.9 \text{ min} .$$

Hence $6.9 \text{ min} < \tau < 17.2 \text{ min}$.

C Fnc $y_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = \alpha e^{At} + \beta e^{Bt} + P \cdot \sin(t) + Q \cdot \cos(t)$
is the general soln to

*: $3y'' + 4y' + y = \cos(t)$, with numbers

$A = \dots$, $B = \dots$, $P = \dots$, $Q = \dots$.

Also, the *constants* on LhS(*) are 3, 4, 1. With the DE describing the position of a spring, the *constant* corresponding to Hooke's constant is 1 .

Soln: Operator $L := 3D^2 + 4D + I$ has aux-poly $f(z) := 3z^2 + 4z + 1$. So $\text{Discr}(f) = 4^2 - 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = 4$. Thus the roots of f are

$$A := \frac{1}{6}[-4 + \sqrt{4}] = \frac{-1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \frac{1}{6}[-4 - \sqrt{4}] = -1;$$

they satisfy that $L(e^{At}) = 0 = L(e^{Bt})$.

With $\mathcal{C} := \cos(t)$ and $\mathcal{S} := \sin(t)$, we seek numbers P, Q st. $L(P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C}) = 0 \cdot \mathcal{S} + 1 \cdot \mathcal{C}$. Computing,

$$\begin{aligned} I(P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C}) &= P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \\ D(P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C}) &= -Q\mathcal{S} + P\mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \\ D^2(P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C}) &= -P\mathcal{S} - Q\mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L(P\mathcal{S} + Q\mathcal{C})$ equals

$$[-2P + -4Q]\mathcal{S} + [4P + -2Q]\mathcal{C}.$$

Eqn-pair $\boxed{-2P + -4Q = 0}$ and $\boxed{4P + -2Q = 1}$ yields $P = 1/5$ and $Q = -1/10$.

d Operators $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}$ map from $\mathbf{C}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty$, and \mathbf{V} is linear. The other maps are

$$\mathbf{P}(f) := [t \mapsto f(t) + 3], \quad \mathbf{Q}(f) := [t \mapsto f(t + 3)],$$

$$\mathbf{R}(f) := [t \mapsto f(f(t))], \quad \mathbf{S}(f) := \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{V}(f)),$$

Then ... \mathbf{P} is linear: $\mathcal{T} \text{ (F)}$. \mathbf{Q} is linear: $\mathcal{T} \text{ (T)}$ \mathbf{F} .
 \mathbf{R} is linear: $\mathcal{T} \text{ (F)}$. \mathbf{S} is linear: $\mathcal{T} \text{ (T)}$ \mathbf{F} .

Linear thinking: Map \mathbf{L} is *linear* if $\forall f, g$ and $\forall_{\text{scalars}} \alpha$: $\mathbf{L}(f + g) = \mathbf{L}(f) + \mathbf{L}(g)$ and $\mathbf{L}(\alpha f) = \alpha \mathbf{L}(f)$.

Combining: $\forall f, g$ and $\forall \alpha, \beta$: $\mathbf{L}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mathbf{L}(f) + \beta \mathbf{L}(g)$.

Operators \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{S} satisfy these linearity conditions. E.g, $\mathbf{S}(\alpha f + \beta g)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{V}(\alpha f + \beta g)) &= \mathbf{V}(\alpha \mathbf{V}(f) + \beta \mathbf{V}(g)), \text{ since } \mathbf{V} \text{ is linear,} \\ &= \alpha \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{V}(f)) + \beta \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{V}(f)), \text{ since } \mathbf{V} \text{ is linear.} \end{aligned}$$

This last indeed equals $\alpha \mathbf{S}(f) + \beta \mathbf{S}(g)$.

How about \mathbf{P} ? Well $\mathbf{P}(\alpha f + \beta g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\alpha f + \beta g] + 3$. OTO-Hand, $\alpha \mathbf{P}(f) + \beta \mathbf{P}(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha[f + 3] + \beta[g + 3]$, which equals $[\alpha f + \beta g] + 3[\alpha + \beta]$. So $\mathbf{P}(\alpha f + \beta g) \stackrel{?}{=} \alpha \mathbf{P}(f) + \beta \mathbf{P}(g)$ IFF $3 \stackrel{?}{=} 3[\alpha + \beta]$, i.e, IFF $\alpha + \beta = 1$. THE UPSHOT: Our \mathbf{P} is an *affine* operator, but not a *linear* operator.

Whereas \mathbf{P} was almost linear, our \mathbf{R} is wildly non-linear. E.g, let h be the identity fnc $h(t) := t$. Now

$$[\mathbf{R}(5h)](t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [5h]([5h](t)) = 5 \cdot h(5 \cdot h(t)) = 5^2 t.$$

OTOHand, $[5 \mathbf{R}(h)](t) = 5 \cdot [\mathbf{R}(h)](t) = 5 \cdot h(h(t)) = 5t$. But does $5^2 t = 5t$ hold for *all* t ? **No!** I.e $[t \mapsto 5^2 t]$ and $[t \mapsto 5t]$ are *different* fncs.

e With $v := \exp(-2 + 5i)$, then $|v| = \dots$.

This $|v|$ lies in circle the correct interval

- $[0, \frac{1}{2})$, $[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $[1, 2)$, $[2, 4)$, $[4, 8)$, $[8, \infty)$.

C-arithmetic: Recall $e^{-2+5i} = e^{-2} \cdot e^{5i}$ and $|e^{5i}| = 1$. Hence $|v| = e^{-2}$. As $3 > e > 2$, then $\frac{1}{9} < |v| < \frac{1}{4}$.

T2: Show no work.

i A tank initially has 80gal of salinity $2 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{gal}}$ brine. Pipe-1 feeds the tank, at rate $3 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{min}}$, with salinity $1 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{gal}}$ brine. Pipe-2 feeds at $2 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{min}}$ with salinity $2 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{gal}}$. The tank discharges brine at $9 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{min}}$. Until the tank empties, it holds $W(t) = \left[\dots \right]$ gal; it empties in \dots min.

The amount, $y(t)$, of lb of salt in the tank at time t , satisfies FOLDE $\frac{dy}{dt} + C(t) \cdot y = G(t)$, where $C(t) = \dots$ and $G(t) = \dots$.

Brine Soln: The net brine outflow, in gal/min, is $9 - [3 + 2] = 4$. Hence $W(t) = \left[80 - \frac{4t}{\text{min}} \right]$ gal. Thus the tank empties in $\frac{80}{4} \text{min} = 20 \text{min}$.

From the pipe-1 and pipe-2 data,

$$y' = \underbrace{[R_1 \cdot \sigma_1] + [R_2 \cdot \sigma_2]}_{\text{Input}} - \underbrace{\rho \cdot \frac{y}{W(t)}}_{\text{Output}}.$$

In FOLDE form,

$$y' + \frac{\rho}{W(t)} y = [R_1 \sigma_1] + [R_2 \sigma_2].$$

Hence

$$C(t) = \frac{\rho}{W(t)} = \frac{9}{80 \text{min} - 4t}, \text{ and}$$

$$G(t) = [R_1 \sigma_1] + [R_2 \sigma_2] = [3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2] \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{min}} = 7 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{min}}.$$

Damp Soln: Aux.poly $Mz^2 + Bz + K$ has a double-root of $r := \frac{-B}{2M} = -5/\text{sec}$. Thus the general soln to (*) is

$$y(t) = \left[\alpha \cdot e^{rt} + \beta \cdot \frac{t}{\text{sec}} e^{rt} \right] \text{m}$$

$$= \left[\alpha \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-5}{\text{sec}} t\right) + \beta \cdot \frac{t}{\text{sec}} \exp\left(\frac{-5}{\text{sec}} t\right) \right] \text{m},$$

where α, β are dimensionless. Note $0\text{m} = y(0\text{sec}) = \alpha\text{m}$, so $\alpha = 0$. Hence $y'(t)$ equals $\beta \cdot [1 + t\text{r}] e^{rt} \cdot \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$. Thus

$$2 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}} = y'(0\text{sec}) = \beta \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}. \text{ So } \beta = 2.$$

T1: 115pts
T2: 70pts
Total: 185pts

ii A critically-damped unforced spring has DE

*: $M y'' + B y' + K y = 0 \frac{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}}{\text{sec}^2}$, where $M := 3\text{kg}$, and the Hooke's constant is $K := 75 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{sec}^2}$.

The damping constant $B = 2\sqrt{MK} = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{sec}} = 30 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{sec}}$.

The general soln to critically-damped (*) is $y(t) = \left[\alpha \cdot \dots + \beta \cdot \dots \right] \text{m}$.

Here, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. (The 3 blanks will have units & numbers in various places. Maybe exp(?) is more convenient than $e^?$ notation.) The specific soln with $y(0\text{sec}) = 0\text{m}$ and $y'(0\text{sec}) = 2 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$ has $\alpha = \dots$, $\beta = \dots$.