

# The Laplace Transform: Calculus

Jonathan L.F. King, [squash@ufl.edu](mailto:squash@ufl.edu)  
 University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
 31 March, 2018 (at 14:18)

**ABSTRACT:** This first gives a defn of *exponential order* which is better adapted to convolution. Following, is a discussion of the “tapping on a bell” problem; one text called this “soldiers marching on a bridge”. Both interpretations need a grain-of-salt...

**Prelims.** On a (possibly infinite) interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is **locally-integrable** if, for each bounded subinterval  $[a, b] \subset J$ , integral  $\int_a^b f$  exists and is finite. A *sufficient* (but not necessary) condition is that on each  $[a, b]$ , our  $f$  is bounded with only finitely-many discontinuities.

For a fnc  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and complex number  $s$  we define the “Laplace transform of  $f$ ”, evaluated at  $s$ ,

$$1: \quad \hat{f}(s) = [\mathcal{L}(f)](s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \cdot f(t) \cdot dt,$$

for those values of  $s$  where this integral exists.

For complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , let  $\alpha \succ \beta$  mean  $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \text{Re}(\beta)$ . For a real  $\mu$ , say that “ $f$  has **exponential order  $\mu$** ”, written  $\boxed{f \in \text{Ord}(\mu)}$ , if  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f$  is locally-integrable *and*

$$\dagger: \quad \forall Q > \mu: \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/e^{Qt} = 0.$$

One can replace (†) by the seemingly weaker

$$\ddagger: \quad \forall Q > \mu: \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/e^{Qt} < \infty,$$

but they are [exercise] equivalent.

**2: Lemma.** Consider an  $f \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$ . Then  $\hat{f}(s)$  exists for each  $s$  with  $\text{Re}(s) > \mu$ . Indeed the integrand in (1) is absolutely integrable.  $\diamond$

**Proof.** Fix  $s$  with  $x := \text{Re}(s) > \mu$ , then pick  $Q$  with  $x > Q > \mu$ . Our  $t$  is real, so  $|e^{-st}| = e^{-xt}$ . Hence the integrand in (1) is eventually bounded,

$$|e^{-st} \cdot f(t)| < e^{-xt} \cdot e^{Qt} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} e^{-(x-Q)t},$$

once  $t$  is large enough. Since  $x-Q$  is positive, this last is integrable over  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .  $\diamond$

We need the following standard tool, to prove a uniqueness result.

**3a: Identically-zero lemma.** On a closed bounded interval  $J := [b, c] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , consider continuous functions  $h, G: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

i: Suppose  $h \geq 0$ . If  $\int_J h = 0$ , then  $h \equiv 0$ , i.e,  $h$  is **identically-zero**. [Exer: ]

ii: If  $G$  is real-valued and  $\int_J [G \cdot G] = 0$ , then  $G \equiv 0$ . [Exer: Set  $h := G \cdot G$ , etc.]

iii: Suppose

$$3b: \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \int_J x^n \cdot G(x) dx = 0.$$

Then  $G$  is identically-zero on  $J$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of (iii).** Splitting  $G$  into real and imaginary parts, WLOG  $G$  is real-valued. Stmt (3b) implies, for each polynomial  $p$ , that  $\int_J [p \cdot G]$  is zero.

By the Weierstrass Approximation Thm, there is a sequence of (real) polynomials, so that  $p_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} G$  *uniformly*. Consequently,

$$\int_J [G \cdot G] \stackrel{\text{Exer.}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_J [p_k \cdot G] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0. \quad \blacklozenge$$

**3c: Uniqueness Thm.** Consider fncs  $g, \gamma \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$ . Suppose their Laplace transforms,  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$ , agree on some real interval  $\mathbf{I} := [s_0, \infty)$ . If  $g$  and  $\gamma$  are continuous, then  $g = \gamma$ .  $\diamond$

[Proof is in notes, commented out.]

**Convolution.** Recall that the (one-sided) *convolution* of two (locally-integrable) functions  $f, g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , is the function

$$4a: \quad [f \otimes g](t) := \int_0^t f(t-v) \cdot g(v) \, dv.$$

**4b: Lemma.** Suppose  $f, g \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$ . Then the convolution  $f \otimes g \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$ .  $\diamond$

[Proof is in notes, commented out.]

**4c: Lap-of-Convolve.**  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s) = \hat{f}(s) \cdot \hat{g}(s)$ .  $\diamond$

*Proof.* Define  $\mathbf{1}(\text{true}) := 1$  and  $\mathbf{1}(\text{false}) := 0$ . We can now write RhS(4a) as

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot f(t-v) \cdot g(v) \, dv.$$

Hence  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot f(t-v) \cdot g(v) \, dv \, dt.$$

Under mild conditions<sup>♥1</sup> on  $f$  and  $g$ , we can reverse the integrals, giving that  $[\widehat{f \otimes g}](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty g(v) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot e^{-st} \cdot f(t-v) \, dt \, dv.$$

The inner integral can be written as

$$\text{¥:} \quad \int_v^\infty e^{-st} \cdot f(t-v) \, dt.$$

CoV  $x = t-v$  traverses  $v-v \nearrow t-v \nearrow \infty-v = \infty$ , and  $dx = dt$ . So (¥) equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-s[x+v]} \cdot f(x) \, dx &= e^{-sv} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= e^{-sv} \cdot \hat{f}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty g(v) \cdot e^{-sv} \cdot \hat{f}(s) \, dv \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \hat{f}(s) \cdot \hat{g}(s). \quad \blacklozenge$$

<sup>♥1</sup>See Fubini–Tonelli theorem.

**5a: Deriv-of-Lap.** Fix a natnum  $N$ . Taking the  $N^{\text{th}}$  derivative,

$$\left[\frac{d}{ds}\right]^N \widehat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}([t]^N \cdot f(t))(s) \\ \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} [-1]^N \cdot \mathcal{L}(t^N \cdot f(t))(s). \quad \diamond$$

**5b: Integral-of-Lap.** For real  $s$  with  $s > \mu$ ,

$$\int_s^\infty \widehat{f}(u) du = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)(s). \quad \diamond$$

**5c: Lap-of-Deriv.** Suppose  $f$  differentiable. Then

$$\widehat{f}'(s) = [s \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - f(0).$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , suppose that an  $N$ -times differentiable  $f$ , has  $f, f', \dots, f^{(N-1)} \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$ . Then

$$\widehat{f^{(N)}}(s) = [s^N \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - \sum_{\substack{j+k=N-1 \\ j,k \in \mathbb{N}}} s^j \cdot [f^{(k)}(0)],$$

where the sum is over all ordered-pairs  $(j, k)$  of natnums.  $\diamond$

**Pf of (5a), Deriv-of-Lap.** With appropriate conditions on  $f$ , we can differentiate under the integral sign in (1), applying  $\frac{d}{ds}$ , to get the  $N=1$  case of (5a). Now induct on  $N$ .  $\diamond$

**Pf of (5b), Integral-of-Lap.** For  $t$  positive, note

$$*: \int_s^\infty e^{-ut} du = \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-ut} \Big|_{u=\infty}^{u=s} \\ = \frac{1}{t} \cdot [e^{-st} - e^{-\infty}] = \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-st}.$$

Applying the definition,  $\int_s^\infty \widehat{f}(u) du$  equals

$$\int_s^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} \cdot f(t) \cdot dt \right] du = \int_0^\infty f(t) \int_s^\infty e^{-ut} du dt \\ \stackrel{\text{by } (*)}{=} \int_0^\infty f(t) \cdot \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-st} dt.$$

And this is the defn of  $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)(s)$ .  $\diamond$

**Pf of (5c), Lap-of-Deriv.** Our  $\widehat{f}'(s)$  is the limit, as  $M \nearrow \infty$ , of

$$\int_0^M \underbrace{e^{-st}}_u \cdot \underbrace{f'(t)}_{dv} dt.$$

Integrating by parts, this equals

$$\underbrace{e^{-st}}_u \cdot \underbrace{f(t)}_v \Big|_{t=0}^{t=M} - \int_0^M \underbrace{f(t)}_v \cdot \underbrace{[-s]e^{-st}}_{du} dt \\ *: \\ = \left[ s \cdot \int_0^M f(t) \cdot e^{-st} dt \right] - \frac{f(t)}{e^{st}} \Big|_{t=M}^{t=0}.$$

This last term equals  $\frac{f(0)}{1} - \frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}} = f(0) - \frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}}$ . If  $\text{Re}(s) > \mu$ , then  $\frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $M \nearrow \infty$ . Sending  $M \nearrow \infty$  thus sends  $(*)$  to  $[s \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - f(0)$ , as desired.

Finally, the formula for  $\widehat{f^{(N)}}$  follows by induction on  $N$ .  $\diamond$

**6: Lap-of-Exp-prod.** Fix  $B \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt} \cdot f(t))(s) = \widehat{f}(s - B),$$

whenever  $s \succ B + \mu$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof.** By defn,  $\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt} \cdot f(t))(s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} e^{Bt} f(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-[s-B]t} f(t) dt.$$

This last integral converges once  $\text{Re}(s - B) > \mu$ , i.e., once  $s \succ B + \mu$ .  $\diamond$

### Examples

Below,  $B \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $F \in \mathbb{R}$ . Easily  $[\mathcal{L}(1)](s)$  equals  $1/s$ . From Deriv-of-Lap, then,  $[-1]^N \cdot \mathcal{L}(t^N)(s)$  equals  $[\frac{d}{ds}]^N(\widehat{1}(s)) = [\frac{d}{ds}]^N(\frac{1}{s})$ . Thus

$$7a: \quad \mathcal{L}(t^N)(s) = \frac{N!}{s^{N+1}}.$$

From Lap-of-Exp-prod, note,

$$*: \quad [\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt})](s) = \frac{1}{s - B}.$$

Recall  $\cos(Ft) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{iFt} + e^{-iFt}]$ . So (\*) gives

$$2 \cdot \mathcal{L}(\cos(Ft))(s) = \frac{1}{s - iF} + \frac{1}{s + iF} = \frac{2s}{s^2 + F^2}.$$

Dividing both sides by 2,

$$7b: \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\cos(Ft))(s) &= \frac{s}{s^2 + F^2}, \quad \text{and similarly} \\ \mathcal{L}(\sin Ft)(s) &= \frac{F}{s^2 + F^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\sin()$  is bounded, it has a Laplace transform on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Since  $\widehat{\sin}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2+1} = \arctan'(u)$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty \widehat{\sin}(u) du = \arctan(\infty) - \arctan(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} - 0.$$

Skipping the effort it takes to *justify* applying (5b) at  $s=0$ , we get that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t)}{t} dt = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\sin(t)}{t}\right)(0) \stackrel{\text{by (5b)}}{=} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\sin}.$$

This, together with the previous line, gives

$$7c: \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t)}{t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

in an appropriate sense.

**Preliminaries**

The *Heaviside fnc*  $\mathbf{H}:\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\{0,1\}$  is 0 on  $(-\infty,0)$  and is 1 on  $[0,+\infty)$ . Thus<sup>♥2</sup>

$$\sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(x - K) = \lfloor x \rfloor, \quad \text{for each } x \geq 0.$$

Let  $\delta$  denote the *Dirac delta “function”*.<sup>♥3</sup> Write  $\delta_5$  for its translate  $x \mapsto \delta(x - 5)$ . So for  $P$  a posreal, and  $f$  continuous at  $P$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} f \cdot \delta_P = f(P).$$

In particular, for each  $f$  which is continuous:

8a: 
$$[f \circledast \delta_5](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \in [0, 5) \\ f(t - 5) & , \text{if } t \in [5, \infty) \end{cases} .$$
  
 I.e.  $f \circledast \delta_5 = \mathbf{T}_5(\mathbf{H} \cdot f)$ .

**Periodicity.** A posreal number  $P$  is a “*period* of fnc  $f$ ” if

$$\forall x : f(x + P) = f(x).$$

Typically, there is a *smallest* such period, which is called the “*least-period* of  $f$ ”.

For a posreal  $P$ , let  $f_{\langle P \rangle} := f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,P)}$  abbreviate what I will call “ $f$  clipped at  $P$ ”. That is, we restrict  $f$  to interval  $[0, P)$ . Thus

$$\widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) = \int_0^P e^{-st} f(t) dt .$$

**8b: Periodicity Theorem.** Suppose  $f, g \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$  and  $P$  is a posreal. Then

1:  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P))(s) = e^{-sP} \cdot \widehat{f}(s).$

2:  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P)g(t))(s) = e^{-sP} \cdot \mathcal{L}(g(t + P))(s).$

<sup>♥2</sup>For a real  $x$ , the expression  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is called the **floor** of  $x$ ; it is the largest integer less-equal  $x$ . So  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor$  is 3.

Use DE to mean ‘*differential eqn*’.

<sup>♥3</sup>It is actually a *Schwartzian distribution*, named after Laurant Schwartz. As distributions,  $\mathbf{H}' = \delta$ .

3: Suppose now that  $P$  is a period of  $f$ . Then

$$\widehat{f}(s) = \widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) / [1 - e^{-sP}]. \quad \blacklozenge$$

**Proof of (8b.1).** The LhS equals  $\int_P^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t - P) dt$ . CoV  $x = t - P$  gives  $dx = dt$ , and thus

$$\text{LhS}(8b.1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s[x+P]} f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \text{RhS}(8b.1) \blacklozenge$$

**Pf (8b.3).** Because our “clipped”  $f_{\langle P \rangle}(t)$  equals  $[\mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{H}(t - P)] \cdot f(t)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(f_{\langle P \rangle}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t)) \\ * : \quad \underline{\underline{P \text{ an } f\text{-period}}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P)) . \end{aligned}$$

Our (8b.1) says that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P))(s)$  equals  $e^{-sP} \cdot \widehat{f}(s)$ . And, always,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) = \mathcal{L}(f)$ , since the Laplace integral is over  $[0, \infty)$ . So we can re-write (\*) as  $\widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) = [1 - e^{-sP}] \cdot \widehat{f}(s)$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Using periodicity.** Function  $\sin()$  is periodic, with period  $2\pi$ . So for each posint  $K$ :

$$\left[ \sin \otimes \delta_{2\pi K} \right](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \in [0, 2\pi K) \\ \sin(t) & , \text{if } t \in [2\pi K, \infty) \end{cases} .$$

For  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , define the sum

$$R_N := \sum_{K=1}^N \delta_{2\pi K} .$$

For  $N$  finite, then,

$$\left[ \sin \otimes R_N \right](t) = \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \right\rfloor \cdot \sin(t)$$

holds when  $0 \leq t < 2\pi[N+1]$ . There are no convergence problems as  $N \nearrow \infty$ . So  $\forall t \in [0, \infty)$ :

$$\text{8c:} \quad \left[ \sin \otimes R_\infty \right](t) = \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \right\rfloor \cdot \sin(t) .$$

Moreover, for each finite  $N$ :

$$\text{8c}_N: \quad \left[ \sin \otimes R_N \right](t) = \text{Min}\left(N, \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \right\rfloor\right) \cdot \sin(t) .$$

## Hammering an undamped spring

(One of the textbooks called this *Soldiers marching in cadence*, but the interpretation is less clear, since soldiers already on the bridge are still marching.) With  $y$  the unknown-fnc, let us examine DE

$$\mathfrak{D}: \quad y'' + y = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \delta_{2\pi K} .$$

Given two complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , let  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha, \beta})$  mean the DE together with initial conditions  $y(0) = \alpha$  and  $y'(0) = \beta$ .

Consider the corresponding ZeroTar<sup>♥4</sup> IVP

$$z'' + z = 0, \quad \text{with } z(0) = \alpha \text{ and } z'(0) = \beta .$$

Its solution is

$$\text{8d:} \quad z = [\alpha \cdot \cos] + [\beta \cdot \sin] .$$

<sup>♥4</sup>Some textbooks call this (yuck!) “homogeneous”.

So the soln,  $y$ , to  $(\mathfrak{D}_{0,0})$  will, when added to  $z$ , give the solution to  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha, \beta})$ .

Computing,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(y'' + y)(s) &= [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s) - [s \cdot y(0) + y'(0)] \\ &= [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s) . \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(\mathfrak{D})$  says that  $\mathcal{L}(y'' + y) = \mathcal{L}(R_\infty)$ . Dividing,

$$\widehat{y}(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + 1} \cdot \widehat{R_\infty}(s) .$$

The RhS is a product, so its inv-lap-xform is a convolution.

$$y = \sin \otimes R_\infty .$$

In other words, the function

$$\text{8e: } y_{\alpha, \beta}(t) := \alpha \cos(t) + \beta \sin(t) + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \right\rfloor \cdot \sin(t)$$

is the general solution to IVP  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha, \beta})$ .

At this point, it would be good to have a careful sketch of  $y_{\alpha, \beta}()$ . One could also analyze, at each time  $t$ , the potential and kinetic energy in the spring. If the spring is damped, is there a net absorption, or loss, of energy?

Suppose the tapping on the spring was not aligned with the resonant frequency of the spring—would the spring nonetheless absorb energy?

**Random hammering.** Times  $0 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots$  define

$$R_G := \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \delta_{G_K} .$$

The above reasoning applied to DE  $\boxed{y'' + y = R_G}$  yields  $y = \sin \otimes R_G$ , as before. Computing the convolution gives

$$\text{8f:} \quad y(t) = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(t - G_K) \cdot \sin(t - G_K) .$$

Adding  $[\alpha \cos(t) + \beta \sin(t)]$  hands us the general solution  $y_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

### Square Wave

For a period  $P > 0$  and a **dUty cycle**  $U \in [0, 1]$ , define the **square-wave fnc**  $\text{SW}_{P,U}()$  to be the  $P$ -periodic fnc mapping  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which agrees with  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,UP)}$  on interval  $[0, P)$ ; the **pulse width** is  $UP$ .

So  $\text{SW}_{P,0} \equiv 0$  and  $\text{SW}_{P,1} \equiv 1$ . Thus we expect

$$*: \quad \widehat{\text{SW}}_{P,0} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\text{SW}}_{P,1}(s) = 1/s.$$

Setting  $f := \text{SW}_{P,U}$ , our Periodicity Theorem says that  $\widehat{f_{(P)}}(s)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^P e^{-st} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,UP)}(t) dt &\stackrel{\text{since } U \leq 1}{=} \int_0^{UP} e^{-st} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot [1 - e^{-s \cdot UP}]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\dagger 1: \quad \widehat{\text{SW}}_{P,U}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot M_{P,U}(s),$$

where this multiplier fnc  $M_{P,U}$  is

$$\dagger 2: \quad M_{P,U}(s) = \frac{1 - e^{-UP \cdot s}}{1 - e^{-P \cdot s}} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \frac{e^{P \cdot s} - e^{FP \cdot s}}{e^{P \cdot s} - 1};$$

here,  $F := 1 - U$  represents the “off” part of the cycle. Happily,  $(\dagger 1, \dagger 2)$  is consistent with  $(*)$ .

**Square-Wave into Spring.** Laplace transforming  $y'' + y = \text{SW}_{P,U}$  yields

$$\text{Y}: \quad [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s) = \widehat{\text{SW}}_{P,U}(s).$$

As before, then,

$$9: \quad y = \sin \circledast \text{SW}_{P,U}.$$

Alternatively, write  $(\text{Y})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s) &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot M_{P,U}(s). \quad \text{So} \\ \widehat{y}(s) &= \frac{1}{s^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{s} \cdot M_{P,U}(s). \quad \text{Thus} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 9': \quad y &= \sin \circledast \mathbf{1} \circledast \mathcal{L}^{-1}(M_{P,U}) \\ &= [1 - \cos] \circledast \mathcal{L}^{-1}(M_{P,U}). \end{aligned}$$