

# The Laplace Transform: Calculus

Jonathan L.F. King  
 University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
 squash@ufl.edu  
 Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>  
 2 December, 2015 (at 18:40)

**ABSTRACT:** This first gives a defn of *exponential order* which is better adapted to convolution. Following, is a discussion of the “tapping on a bell” problem; one text called this “soldiers marching on a bridge”. Both interpretations need a grain-of-salt...

**Prelims.** On a (possibly infinite) interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is **locally-integrable** if, for each bounded subinterval  $[a, b] \subset J$ , integral  $\int_a^b f$  exists and is finite. A *sufficient* (but not necessary) condition is that on each  $[a, b]$ , our  $f$  is bounded with only finitely-many discontinuities.

For a fnc  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and complex number  $s$  we define the “Laplace transform of  $f$ ”, evaluated at  $s$ ,

$$1: \quad \hat{f}(s) = [\mathcal{L}(f)](s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \cdot f(t) \cdot dt,$$

for those values of  $s$  where this integral exists.

For complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , let  $\alpha \succ \beta$  mean  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > \operatorname{Re}(\beta)$ . For a real  $\mu$ , say that “ $f$  has **exponential order  $\mu$** ”, written  $f \in \operatorname{Ord}(\mu)$ , if  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f$  is locally-integrable and

$$\dagger: \quad \forall Q > \mu: \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/e^{Qt} = 0.$$

One can replace  $\dagger$  by the seemingly weaker

$$\ddagger: \quad \forall Q > \mu: \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |f(t)|/e^{Qt} < \infty,$$

but they are [exercise] equivalent.

**2: Lemma.** Consider an  $f \in \operatorname{Ord}(\mu)$ . Then  $\hat{f}(s)$  exists for each  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \mu$ . Indeed the integrand in (1) is absolutely integrable.  $\diamond$

**Proof.** Fix  $s$  with  $x := \operatorname{Re}(s) > \mu$ , then pick  $Q$  with  $x > Q > \mu$ . Our  $t$  is real, so  $|e^{-st}| = e^{-xt}$ . Hence the integrand in (1) is eventually bounded,

$$|e^{-st} \cdot f(t)| < e^{-xt} \cdot e^{Qt} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} e^{-(x-Q)t},$$

once  $t$  is large enough. Since  $x-Q$  is positive, this last is integrable over  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .  $\diamond$

**Convolution.** Recall that the (one-sided) **convolution** of two (locally-integrable) functions  $f, g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , is the function

$$3a: \quad [f \otimes g](t) := \int_0^t f(t-v) \cdot g(v) \, dv.$$

**3b: Lemma.** Suppose  $f, g \in \operatorname{Ord}(\mu)$ . Then the convolution  $f \otimes g \in \operatorname{Ord}(\mu)$ .  $\diamond$

[Proof omitted.]

We need the following standard tool, to prove a uniqueness result.

**4a: Identically-zero lemma.** On a closed bounded interval  $J := [b, c] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , consider continuous functions  $h, G: J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

i: Suppose  $h \geq 0$ . If  $\int_J h = 0$ , then  $h \equiv 0$ , i.e,  $h$  is **identically-zero**. [Exercise:]

ii: If  $G$  is real-valued and  $\int_J [G \cdot G] = 0$ , then  $G \equiv 0$ . [Exercise:]

iii: Suppose

$$4b: \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \int_J x^n \cdot G(x) \, dx = 0.$$

Then  $G$  is identically-zero on  $J$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of (iii).** Splitting  $G$  into real and imaginary parts, WLOG  $G$  is real-valued. Stmt (4b) implies, for each polynomial  $p$ , that  $\int_J [p \cdot G]$  is zero.

By the Weierstrass Approximation Thm, there is a sequence of (real) polynomials, so that  $p_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} G$  uniformly. Consequently,

$$\int_J [G \cdot G] \stackrel{\text{Exer.}}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_J [p_k \cdot G] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0. \quad \blacklozenge$$

**4c: Uniqueness Thm.** Consider fncs  $g, \gamma \in \operatorname{Ord}(\mu)$ . Suppose their Laplace transforms,  $\hat{g}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$ , agree on some real interval  $\mathbf{I} := [s_0, \infty)$ . If  $g$  and  $\gamma$  are continuous, then  $g = \gamma$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof.** The difference fnc  $f := g - \gamma$  is cts and in  $\text{Ord}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ , with  $\widehat{f}$  identically-zero on  $\mathbf{I}$ . So our goal is to show  $f$  identically-zero on  $[0, \infty)$ .

WLOG  $s_0 > \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Define  $G(u) := u^{s_0} \cdot f(-\log(u))$ , and  $G(0) := 0$ . CoV  $t = -\log(u)$  shows that

$$\lim_{u \searrow 0} G(u) = \lim_{t \nearrow \infty} e^{-s_0 t} f(t)$$

equals 0, since  $s_0 > \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Hence  $G$  is cts on  $[0, 1]$ .

CoV  $t = -\log(u)$  makes  $dt = -du/u$  and

$$e^{-st} = [e^{-t}]^s = u^s.$$

Evaluating at  $s+1$ , the CoV rewrites (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(s+1) &= \int_1^0 u^{s+1} \cdot f(-\log(u)) \cdot \frac{-du}{u} \\ &= \int_0^1 u^s \cdot f(-\log(u)) du \\ &= \int_0^1 u^{s-s_0} \cdot G(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $s := s_0 + n$  and note  $s+1$  is in  $\mathbf{I}$ . Hence  $\widehat{f}(s+1)$  is zero, i.e.  $\int_0^1 u^n G(u) du$  is zero. By (4a), then,  $G \equiv 0$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

So on half-open  $(0, 1]$ , map  $[u \mapsto f(-\log(u))]$  is zero. Hence  $f \equiv 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**5a: TL-Diff.** Fix a natnum  $N$ . Taking the  $N^{\text{th}}$  derivative,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{d}{ds} \right]^N \widehat{f}(s) &= \mathcal{L}([t]^{-N} \cdot f(t))(s) \\ &\stackrel{\text{note}}{=} [-1]^N \cdot \mathcal{L}(t^N \cdot f(t))(s). \quad \blacklozenge \end{aligned}$$

**5b: LT-Diff.** Suppose  $f$  differentiable. Then

$$\widehat{f}'(s) = [s \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - f(0).$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , suppose that an  $N$ -times differentiable  $f$ , has  $f, f', \dots, f^{(N-1)} \in \text{Ord}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ . Then

$$\widehat{f^{(N)}}(s) = [s^N \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - \sum_{\substack{j+k=N-1 \\ j,k \in \mathbb{N}}} s^j \cdot [f^{(k)}(0)],$$

where the sum is over all ordered-pairs  $(j, k)$  of natnums.  $\blacklozenge$

**5c: LT-Exp.** Fix  $B \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt} \cdot f(t))(s) = \widehat{f}(s - B),$$

whenever  $s \succ B + \boldsymbol{\mu}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**5d: LT-Convolve.**  $[\mathcal{L}(f \circledast g)](s) = \widehat{f}(s) \cdot \widehat{g}(s)$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**5e: TL-Integrate.** For real  $s$  with  $s > \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ,

$$\int_s^\infty \widehat{f}(u) du = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)(s) \quad \blacklozenge$$

**Proof of (5e).** For  $t$  positive, note

$$\begin{aligned} * : \int_s^\infty e^{-ut} du &= \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-ut} \Big|_{u=\infty}^{u=s} \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \cdot [e^{-st} - e^{-\infty}] = \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-st}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the definition,  $\int_s^\infty \widehat{f}(u) du$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} \cdot f(t) \cdot dt \right] du &= \int_0^\infty f(t) \int_s^\infty e^{-ut} du dt \\ &\stackrel{\text{by } (*)}{=} \int_0^\infty f(t) \cdot \frac{1}{t} \cdot e^{-st} dt. \end{aligned}$$

And this is the defn of  $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)(s)$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Pf of (5a), TL-Diff.** With appropriate conditions on  $f$ , we can differentiate under the integral sign in (1), applying  $\frac{d}{ds}$ , to get the  $N=1$  case of (5a). Now induct on  $N$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Pf LT-Diff.** Our  $\widehat{f}'(s)$  is the limit, as  $M \nearrow \infty$ , of

$$\int_0^M \underbrace{e^{-st}}_u \cdot \underbrace{f'(t)}_{dv} dt.$$

Integrating by parts, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} * : \underbrace{e^{-st}}_u \cdot \underbrace{f(t)}_v \Big|_{t=0}^{t=M} &- \int_0^M \underbrace{f(t)}_v \cdot \underbrace{[-s]e^{-st}}_{du} dt \\ &= \left[ s \cdot \int_0^M f(t) \cdot e^{-st} dt \right] - \frac{f(t)}{e^{st}} \Big|_{t=M}^{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

This last term equals  $\frac{f(0)}{1} - \frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}} = f(0) - \frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}}$ . If  $\text{Re}(s) > \mu$ , then  $\frac{f(M)}{e^{sM}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $M \nearrow \infty$ . Sending  $M \nearrow \infty$  thus sends (\*) to  $[s \cdot \widehat{f}(s)] - f(0)$ , as desired.

Finally, the formula for  $\widehat{f^{(N)}}$  follows by induction on  $N$ . ♦

**Pf LT-Exp.** By defn,  $\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt} \cdot f(t))(s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} e^{Bt} f(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-[s-B]t} f(t) dt.$$

This last integral converges once  $\text{Re}(s - B) > \mu$ , i.e., once  $s \succ B + \mu$ . ♦

**Proof of LT-Convolve.** Define  $\mathbf{1}(\text{true}) := 1$  and  $\mathbf{1}(\text{false}) := 0$ . We can now write RhS(3a) as

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot f(t-v) \cdot g(v) dv.$$

Hence  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot f(t-v) \cdot g(v) dv dt.$$

Under mild conditions on  $f$  and  $g$ , we can reverse the integrals, giving that  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty g(v) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}(v \leq t) \cdot e^{-st} \cdot f(t-v) dt dv.$$

The inner integral can be written as

$$\int_v^\infty e^{-st} \cdot f(t-v) dt.$$

The change of variable  $x = t - v$  gives that  $dx = dt$ . So this integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-s[x+v]} \cdot f(x) dx &= e^{-sv} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} \cdot f(x) dx \\ &= e^{-sv} \cdot \widehat{f}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[\mathcal{L}(f \otimes g)](s)$  equals

$$\int_0^\infty g(v) \cdot e^{-sv} \cdot \widehat{f}(s) dv \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \widehat{f}(s) \cdot \widehat{g}(s). \quad \blacklozenge$$

### Examples

Below,  $B \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $F \in \mathbb{R}$ . Easily  $[\mathcal{L}(1)](s)$  equals  $1/s$ . From TL-Diff, then,  $[-1]^N \cdot \mathcal{L}(t^N)(s)$  equals  $[\frac{d}{ds}]^N(\widehat{1}(s)) = [\frac{d}{ds}]^N(\frac{1}{s})$ . Thus

$$6a: \quad \mathcal{L}(t^N)(s) = \frac{N!}{s^{N+1}}.$$

From LT-Exp, note,

$$*: \quad [\mathcal{L}(e^{Bt})](s) = \frac{1}{s - B}.$$

Recall  $\cos(Ft) = \frac{1}{2}[e^{iFt} + e^{-iFt}]$ . So (\*) gives

$$2 \cdot \mathcal{L}(\cos(Ft))(s) = \frac{1}{s - iF} + \frac{1}{s + iF} = \frac{2s}{s^2 + F^2}.$$

Dividing both sides by 2,

$$\mathcal{L}(\cos(Ft))(s) = \frac{s}{s^2 + F^2}, \quad \text{and similarly}$$

6b:

$$\mathcal{L}(\sin Ft)(s) = \frac{F}{s^2 + F^2}.$$

As  $\sin()$  is bounded, it has a Laplace transform on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Since  $\widehat{\sin}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2+1} = \arctan'(u)$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty \widehat{\sin}(u) du = \arctan(\infty) - \arctan(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} - 0.$$

Skipping the effort it takes to *justify* applying (5e) at  $s=0$ , we get that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t)}{t} dt = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\sin(t)}{t}\right)(0) \stackrel{\text{by (5e)}}{=} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\sin}.$$

This, together with the previous line, gives

$$6c: \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(t)}{t} dt = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

**Preliminaries**

The **Heaviside fnc**  $\mathbf{H}:\mathbb{R}\rightarrow\{0,1\}$  is 0 on  $(-\infty,0)$  and is 1 on  $[0,+\infty)$ . Thus<sup>♥1</sup>

$$\sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{H}(x - K) = \lfloor x \rfloor, \quad \text{for each } x \geq 0.$$

Let  $\delta$  denote the **Dirac delta “function”**.<sup>♥2</sup> Write  $\delta_5$  for its translate  $x \mapsto \delta(x - 5)$ . So for  $P$  a posreal, and  $f$  continuous at  $P$ :

$$\int_0^{\infty} f \cdot \delta_P = f(P).$$

In particular, for each  $f$  which is continuous:

7a: 
$$[f \circledast \delta_5](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \in [0, 5) \\ f(t - 5) & , \text{if } t \in [5, \infty) \end{cases} .$$
  
 I.e.  $f \circledast \delta_5 = \mathbf{T}_5(\mathbf{H} \cdot f)$ .

**Periodicity.** A posreal number  $P$  is a “**period** of fnc  $f$ ” if

$$\forall x: f(x + P) = f(x).$$

Typically, there is a *smallest* such period, which is called the “**least-period** of  $f$ ”.

For a posreal  $P$ , let  $f_{\langle P \rangle} := f \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,P)}$  abbreviate what I will call “ $f$  clipped at  $P$ ”. That is, we restrict  $f$  to interval  $[0, P)$ . Thus

$$\widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) = \int_0^P e^{-st} f(t) dt.$$

**7b: Periodicity Theorem.** Suppose  $f, g \in \text{Ord}(\mu)$  and  $P$  is a posreal. Then

1:  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P))(s) = e^{-sP} \cdot \widehat{f}(s).$

2:  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P)g(t))(s) = e^{-sP} \cdot \mathcal{L}(g(t + P))(s).$

<sup>♥1</sup>For a real  $x$ , the expression  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is called the **floor** of  $x$ ; it is the largest integer less-equal  $x$ . So  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor$  is 3.

Use DE to mean ‘*differential eqn*’.

<sup>♥2</sup>It is actually a *Schwartzian distribution*, named after Laurant Schwartz. As distributions,  $\mathbf{H}' = \delta$ .

3: Suppose now that  $P$  is a period of  $f$ . Then

$$\widehat{f}(s) = \widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) / [1 - e^{-sP}]. \quad \blacklozenge$$

**Proof of (7b.1).** The LhS equals  $\int_P^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t - P) dt$ . CoV  $x = t - P$  gives  $dx = dt$ , and thus

$$\text{LhS}(7b.1) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-s[x+P]} f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \text{RhS}(7b.1). \quad \blacklozenge$$

**Pf (7b.3).** Because our “clipped”  $f_{\langle P \rangle}(t)$  equals  $[\mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{H}(t - P)] \cdot f(t)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(f_{\langle P \rangle}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t)) \\ * : & \stackrel{P \text{ an } f\text{-period}}{=} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P)). \end{aligned}$$

Our (7b.1) says that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}(t - P) \cdot f(t - P))(s)$  equals  $e^{-sP} \cdot \widehat{f}(s)$ . And, always,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H} \cdot f) = \mathcal{L}(f)$ , since the Laplace integral is over  $[0, \infty)$ . So we can re-write (\*) as  $\widehat{f_{\langle P \rangle}}(s) = [1 - e^{-sP}] \cdot \widehat{f}(s)$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Using periodicity.** Function  $\sin()$  is periodic, with period  $2\pi$ . So for each posint  $K$ :

$$[\sin \circledast \delta_{2\pi K}](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{if } t \in [0, 2\pi K) \\ \sin(t) & , \text{if } t \in [2\pi K, \infty) \end{cases} .$$

For  $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , define the sum

$$R_N := \sum_{K=1}^N \delta_{2\pi K}.$$

For  $N$  finite, then,

$$[\sin \circledast R_N](t) = \lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \rfloor \cdot \sin(t)$$

holds when  $0 \leq t < 2\pi[N+1]$ . There are no convergence problems as  $N \nearrow \infty$ . So  $\forall t \in [0.. \infty)$ :

7c: 
$$[\sin \circledast R_{\infty}](t) = \lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \rfloor \cdot \sin(t).$$

Moreover, for each finite  $N$ :

7c<sub>N</sub>: 
$$[\sin \circledast R_N](t) = \text{Min}(N, \lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \rfloor) \cdot \sin(t).$$

### Hammering an undamped spring

(One of the textbooks called this *Soldiers marching in cadence*, but the interpretation is less clear, since soldiers already on the bridge are still marching.) With  $y$  the unknown-fnc, let us examine DE

$$\mathfrak{D}: \quad y'' + y = \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \delta_{2\pi K}.$$

Given two complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ , let  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha,\beta})$  mean the DE together with initial conditions  $y(0) = \alpha$  and  $y'(0) = \beta$ .

Consider the corresponding ZeroTar<sup>♥3</sup> IVP

$$z'' + z = 0, \quad \text{with } z(0) = \alpha \text{ and } z'(0) = \beta.$$

Its solution is

$$7d: \quad z = [\alpha \cdot \cos] + [\beta \cdot \sin].$$

So the soln,  $y$ , to  $(\mathfrak{D}_{0,0})$  will, when added to  $z$ , give the solution to  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha,\beta})$ .

Computing,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(y'' + y)(s) &= [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s) - [s \cdot y(0) + y'(0)] \\ &= [s^2 + 1] \cdot \widehat{y}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(\mathfrak{D})$  says that  $\mathcal{L}(y'' + y) = \mathcal{L}(R_\infty)$ . Dividing,

$$\widehat{y}(s) = \frac{1}{s^2+1} \cdot \widehat{R}_\infty(s).$$

The RhS is a product, so its inv-lap-xform is a convolution.

$$y = \sin \circledast R_\infty.$$

In other words, the function

$$7e: \quad y_{\alpha,\beta}(t) := \alpha \cos(t) + \beta \sin(t) + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2\pi} \right\rfloor \cdot \sin(t)$$

is the solution to IVP  $(\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha,\beta})$ .

At this point, it would be good to have a careful sketch of  $y_{\alpha,\beta}()$ . One could also analyze, at each time  $t$ , the potential and kinetic energy in the spring. If the spring is damped, is there a net absorption, or loss, of energy?

Suppose the tapping on the spring was not aligned with the resonant frequency of the spring—would the spring nonetheless absorb energy?

<sup>♥3</sup>Some textbooks call this (yuck!) “homogeneous”.

### Square Wave

For a period  $P > 0$  and a *duty cycle*  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , define the *square-wave fnc*  $\widehat{SW}_{P,\alpha}()$  to be the  $P$ -periodic fnc mapping  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which agrees with  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha P)}$  on interval  $[0, P)$ .

So  $\widehat{SW}_{P,0} \equiv 0$  and  $\widehat{SW}_{P,1} \equiv 1$ . Thus we expect

$$*: \quad \widehat{SW}_{P,0} \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{SW}_{P,1}(s) = 1/s.$$

Setting  $f := \widehat{SW}_{P,\alpha}$ , our Periodicity Thm says that  $f_{(P)}(t)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^P e^{-st} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha P)}(t) dt &\stackrel{\text{since } \alpha \leq 1}{=} \int_0^{\alpha P} e^{-st} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \cdot [1 - e^{-s \cdot \alpha P}]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\dagger 1: \quad \widehat{SW}_{P,\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot M_\alpha(s),$$

where this multiplier fnc  $M_\alpha$  is

$$\dagger 2: \quad M_\alpha(s) = \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha P \cdot s}}{1 - e^{-P \cdot s}} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \frac{e^{P \cdot s} - e^{\beta P \cdot s}}{e^{P \cdot s} - 1};$$

here,  $\beta := 1 - \alpha$  represents the “off” part of the cycle. Happily,  $(\dagger 1, \dagger 2)$  is consistent with  $(*)$ .

Filename: Problems/Analysis/Calculus/laplace.xform.latex  
As of: Wednesday 02Dec2015. Typeset: 2Dec2015 at 18:40.