Hensel's Lemma

Jonathan L.F. King
University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA
squash@ufl.edu
Webpage http://squash.1gainesville.com/

31 July, 2018 (at 12:13)

Notation. Quantities x, y, M, m, K, k... are integers, by default. Recall " $x \equiv_m y$ " means $[x - y] \models m$. Thus \equiv_0 is equality, =, in the integers.

1: Tools. Consider $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and an intpoly h(). Then:

1a: Ratio $[n \downarrow K]/K!$ is an integer.

1b: Differentiating, $h^{(K)}/K!$ is an intpoly.

1c: $\forall x,y: [x \equiv_M y] \Rightarrow [h(x) \equiv_M h(y)].$

1d: If $N := Deg(h) \ge 1$, then for complex numbers Z, b:

$$h(Z+b) = h(Z) + [h'(Z)\cdot b] + \sum_{K=2}^{N} \frac{h^{(K)}(Z)}{K!} \cdot b^{K}.$$

Pf of (1a). For $n \ge K \ge 0$, set j := n - K and note $[n \downarrow K]/K!$ equals $j! \cdot \binom{n}{K,j}$, which is an integer. Hence degree-K polynomial $f(x) := [x \downarrow K]/K!$ is integer-valued at K+1 consecutive integers [indeed, for all integers $x \in [K..\infty)$], and thus (exercise) is integer-valued at all integers. [However, the coeffs of f need not be integers.]

Pf of (1b). Write h(x) as $\sum_{j=0}^{\text{Finite}} C_j x^j$. For $n \ge K$, the coefficient of x^{n-K} in $\frac{h^{(K)}(x)}{K!}$ is $C_n \cdot \frac{\llbracket n \downarrow K \rrbracket}{K!}$.

Pf of (1d). Since h is a degree-N polynomial, its N^{th} -Taylor-poly is h itself.

Hensel's Setting. Fix an intpoly f() of degree $N \ge 1$, and fix a prime P.

 $Use \stackrel{\ell}{\equiv} as \ a \ synonym \ for \ \equiv_{P^{\ell}}.$

E.g, $257 \stackrel{3}{\equiv} 7$ means $[257 - 7] \triangleright P^3$. For a *level* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, an integer α is an " ℓ -root (of f)" if

$$f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \equiv 0$$
.

For $\ell = 2, 3, \ldots$, we seek ℓ^{th} -roots of f, starting from a given $\ell=1$ root Z, i.e $f(Z) \equiv_{P} 0$.

Set $Z_1 := Z$. We proceed by induction on ℓ .

2: Hensel's, non-singular. Suppose $f(Z) \equiv_P 0$ yet $f'(Z) \not\equiv_P 0$. Let $U := \langle 1/f'(Z) \rangle_P$. Setting $Z_1 := Z$, for $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots$ define

2a:
$$Z_{\ell+1} := Z_{\ell} - [f(Z_{\ell}) \cdot U], \pmod{P^{\ell+1}}.$$

This satisfies that

2b:
$$Z_{\ell+1} \stackrel{\ell}{\equiv} Z_{\ell}$$
 and 2c: $f(Z_{\ell+1}) \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} 0$.

Proof. Fix an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ st. $f(Z_\ell) \stackrel{\ell}{\equiv} 0$ and $Z_\ell \stackrel{r}{\equiv} Z$. We solve for those values $t \in \mathbb{Z}_P$, if any, such that sum

*:
$$Z_{\ell+1} := Z_{\ell} + tP^{\ell}$$

satisfies (2c). Let $\alpha := \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$. We apply Taylor's thm to $f(\alpha + tP^{\ell})$. Its k^{th} term is

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{k!} \cdot t^k P^{k\ell}.$$

When $k \geq 2$, then $k\ell \geq 2\ell \geq \ell+1$, since $\ell \geq 1$. Hence $P^{k\ell} \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} 0$. Ratio $[f^{(k)}(\alpha)/k!]$ is an *integer*, courtesy (1b). Hence (†) is $\stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} 0$. Consequently,

$$f(\alpha + tP^{\ell}) \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} f(\alpha) + [f'(\alpha) \cdot tP^{\ell}].$$

We seek a t making this zero, mod $P^{\ell+1}$; i.e, that

$$t \cdot f'(\alpha) \cdot P^{\ell} \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} -f(\alpha)$$
.

By hypothesis, $f(\alpha) \models P^{\ell}$. So (‡) is equivalent to

2d:
$$t \cdot f'(\alpha) \equiv_{P} -\frac{f(\alpha)}{P^{\ell}}$$
. [Division is $\underline{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}}$.]

By our hypothesis, $\alpha \equiv_P Z$ and so U is the mod-P reciprocal of $f'(\alpha)$. Thus

$$t \equiv_{P} -\left[\frac{f(\alpha)}{P^{\ell}}\right] \cdot U.$$
 [Division is $\underline{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}}.$]

Plugging this into (*) gives (2a).

NB: Please ignore the singular case, which is below.

Prof. JLF King Page 2 of 2

 \Diamond

Defn. Fix a posint T. For a level ℓ satisfying

3a:
$$\ell \geqslant 1 + 2T$$
,

say that an integer α is " ℓ, T -good" if

3b:
$$f(\alpha) \stackrel{\ell}{\equiv} 0$$
, and the derivative satisfies 3c: $f'(\alpha) \not\models P^T$.

4.0: Hensel singular-thm. Fix a posint T, and let " ℓ -good" mean ℓ ,T-good.

Consider a level ℓ and an ℓ -good integer α . There there exists a unique $m \in [0..P)$ such that

$$\beta := \alpha + mP^{\ell-T}$$

is
$$[\ell+1]$$
-good.

Proof. Inequality (3a) gives $\ell - T \geqslant T + 1$. Thus each $\beta \equiv_{P^{T+1}} \alpha$. Applying (1) to intpoly f'() gives

$$f'(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \equiv_{\boldsymbol{\rho}^{T+1}} f'(\boldsymbol{\alpha}).$$

Thus (3c) forces $f'(\beta) \Vdash P^T$, regardless of m.

Of course, $\ell+1 \geqslant \ell \geqslant 1+2T$, so to produce $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ which is $[\ell+1]$ -good, we must exhibit an m with $f(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \models P^{\ell+1}$.

Making an $[\ell+1]$ -root β . For an exponent $e \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $m, x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can expand the e^{th} -power as

$$[x + mP^{\ell-T}]^e = x^e + mP^{\ell-T} \cdot \binom{e}{1} x^{e-1} + m^2 P^{2[\ell-T]} \cdot \binom{e}{2} x^{e-2} + \dots$$

Since (3a) implies $2[\ell - T] \ge \ell + 1$, we have that

$$[x + mP^{\ell-T}]^e \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} x^e + mP^{\ell-T} \cdot \binom{e}{1} x^{e-1}$$
$$= x^e + mP^{\ell-T} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}(x^e).$$

Write f(x) as $\sum_{e=0}^{N} C_e x^e$. Multiplying the above by C_e , then summing, gives

4.1:
$$f(x + mP^{\ell-T}) \stackrel{\ell+1}{=} f(x) + mP^{\ell-T} \cdot f'(x)$$
,

where $\beta_m := \alpha + mP^{\ell-T}$.

Dividing. Courtesy (3c), we can write

$$f'(\alpha) = D \cdot P^T$$
, with $D \perp P$.

And (3b) gives $f(\alpha) = E \cdot P^{\ell}$ with $E \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we can rewrite (4.1) as

4.2:
$$f(\beta_m) \stackrel{\ell+1}{\equiv} [E + mD] \cdot P^{\ell}.$$

Since $D \perp P$, there is a unique $m \in [0..P)$ making $E + mD \equiv_P 0$. That is the unique value making $f(\beta_m) \equiv_{P^{\ell+1}} 0$.

Filename: Problems/NumberTheory/hensel-lemma.latex
As of: Thursday 18Apr2013. Typeset: 31Jul2018 at 12:13.