

## Gaussian Integers: NumThy

Jonathan L.F. King

University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
squash@ufl.edu

Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>

1 June, 2018 (at 16:59)

(A natnum  $N$  is a **SOTS**, Sum Of Two Squares, if there are integers for which  $\ell^2 + k^2 = N$ . If there exists such a pair with  $\ell \perp k$ , then  $N$  is **coprime-SOTS**. (E.g, 25 has a non-coprime rep as  $5^2 + 0^2$ ; nonetheless, 25 is coprime-SOTS, since  $25 = 3^2 + 4^2$ . OTOHand, both  $4 = 0^2 + 2^2$  and  $40 = 2^2 + 6^2$  have these unique SOTS reps, so neither is **coprime-SOTS**.) An odd integer  $L$  is **4Neg** if  $L \equiv_4 -1$  and is **4Pos** if  $L \equiv_4 +1$ . Fermat's Prime-SOTS Thm says: *Oddprime  $p$  is SOTS iff  $p$  is 4Pos.*

Mod  $N$ , a **rono** is a (square-)Root Of Negative One; an integer  $I$  such that  $I^2 \equiv_N -1$ .

Use **CRT** for the Chinese Remainder Thm.)

**The ring.** Let  $\mathbb{G} := \{b + ci \mid b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the set of **Gaussian integers**, a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The **norm** of a gaussint  $B := b + ci$  is

$$N(B) := B \cdot \bar{B} = b^2 + c^2.$$

To set notation, I will henceforth use

$$B := b + ci, \quad E := e + fi, \quad \text{and} \quad S := s + ti$$

for gaussints. I will use

$$\beta := N(B), \quad \varepsilon := N(E), \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma := N(S)$$

for their norms.

A number in  $\mathbb{G}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is neither zero nor a unit will be called **non-trivial**. A gaussint  $S = s + ti$  lying on the real or imaginary axis is said to be **axial**, i.e either  $s = 0$  or  $t = 0$ . Lastly, use **0** for the complex number  $0 + 0i$ .

**1: Lemma.** *The  $\mathbb{G}$ -norm is totally multiplicative:  $\forall B, E: N(B \cdot E) = N(B) \cdot N(E)$ . Furthermore,*

$$\begin{aligned} S = \mathbf{0} &\iff N(S) = 0 && ; \\ S \text{ is a } \mathbb{G}\text{-unit} &\iff N(S) = 1 && . \end{aligned}$$

*Thus there are four  $\mathbb{G}$ -units, comprising the set  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . (Proof: Exercise.)*  $\diamond$

Evidently each axial non-zero  $S$  can be decomposed uniquely as  $(*)$ , below.

The *raison d'être* of this note is (2.2).

**2: Irreducibility Theorem.** *Fix a non-trivial gaussint  $S$ .*

*When  $S$  is axial, written as*

$$*: \quad S = n \cdot E, \quad \text{with } E \text{ a } \mathbb{G}\text{-unit and } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

*then*

$$2.1: \quad S \text{ is } \mathbb{G}\text{-irred} \iff n \text{ is a } 4\text{NEG prime.}$$

*When  $S$  is non-axial then*

$$2.2: \quad S \text{ is } \mathbb{G}\text{-irred} \iff \sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N(S) \text{ is } \mathbb{Z}\text{-irred.} \quad \diamond$$

**Remark.** A norm value,  $\sigma$ , is automatically a SOTS-number. So a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -irreducible norm is necessarily a SOTS-prime.

Consequently, parts (2.1) and (2.2) together say that a gaussint  $S$  is  $\mathbb{G}$ -irreducible iff: *The norm  $N(S)$  is either a SOTS-prime or is the square of a 4NEG prime.*  $\square$

**Proof of (2.1) ( $\Rightarrow$ ).** A non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -factorization  $n = k \cdot \ell$  yields a non-trivial  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization  $S = k \cdot \ell E$ . Nope; so  $n$  is prime.

Were  $n$  a SOTS-prime then take integers  $b$  and  $c$  with  $b^2 + c^2 = n$ . Automatically  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ , so

$$S = [b + ci] \cdot [b - ci]E$$

is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization. In consequence, the Prime-SOTS Thm implies that  $n$  must be 4NEG.  $\blacklozenge$

**Proof of (2.1) ( $\Leftarrow$ ).** A  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization  $S = B \cdot E$  yields a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -factorization  $n^2 \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \sigma = \beta \cdot \varepsilon$ . Each of  $\beta$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a norm, so each is 4negprime-even. But  $n$  is a 4NEG prime. So WLOG  $\beta = n^2$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Thus the  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization of  $S$  was trivial after all.  $\blacklozenge$

### Reverse-melding and all that jazz

We now come to the most interesting part, implication (2.2). The  $(\Rightarrow)$  direction is immediate: Consider a non-trivial  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization  $S = B \cdot E$ . Then Lemma 1 assures that  $\sigma = \beta\varepsilon$  is a non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -factorization of  $\sigma$ .

As for the  $(\Leftarrow)$  direction, first let  $g := \text{Gcd}(s, t)$ . Then

$$E := \frac{s}{g} + \frac{t}{g}i$$

is not a  $\mathbb{G}$ -unit, since  $S$  is not axial. Were  $g \neq 1$ , then  $g \cdot E$  would be a non-trivial  $\mathbb{G}$ -factorization of  $S$ . The upshot is that we may WLOG assume that  $s \perp t$ .

**Proof of (2.2)  $(\Leftarrow)$ .** Together,  $t \perp s$  and  $s^2 + t^2 = \sigma$  yield that  $t \perp \sigma$ . Since  $s^2 + t^2 \equiv_{\sigma} 0$ , necessarily

3:  $I := \left\langle \frac{s}{t} \right\rangle_{\sigma}$  is a  $\sigma$ -rono. Thus  $\sigma$  has no 4NEG-prime factors.

**Strategy.** Let's now assume that  $\sigma$  factors non-trivially over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , say  $\sigma = \beta \cdot \varepsilon$ , and then endeavor to show that  $S$  factors over  $\mathbb{G}$ .

We'd like to define a gaussint  $B := b + ci$  by somehow having chosen numbers  $b$  and  $c$  with

$$4: \quad b^2 + c^2 = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad b, c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Automatically this gives  $S = B \cdot E$ , a factorization over the *Gaussian rationals*, where

$$E := \frac{S}{B} = \frac{S\bar{B}}{B\bar{B}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot S\bar{B}.$$

Now  $\bar{B} = b - ci$ , so

$$S\bar{B} = [sb + tc] + [tb - sc]i.$$

The upshot is that the real and imaginary coefficients of  $E$  will be *integers* iff

$$5: \quad \begin{aligned} sb &\equiv_{\beta} -tc, \text{ and} \\ tb &\equiv_{\beta} sc. \end{aligned}$$

Thus our goal is to pick  $b$  and  $c$  so as to fulfill (4) and (5) simultaneously.

**Reverse-Melding.** (This clever term is due to Stephen Hicks.) Observation (3) together with  $\beta \bullet \sigma$  tell us that  $\beta$  is a SOTS-number and  $I$  is a  $\beta$ -rono.

Courtesy of our proof of Prime-SOTS Theorem we can use  $I$  to construct integers  $b$  and  $c$  with  $b^2 + c^2 = \beta$  and

$$6: \quad b \equiv_{\beta} I \cdot c.$$

OTOHand, (3) gives  $s \equiv_{\sigma} I \cdot t$ . So we certainly have

$$7: \quad s \equiv_{\beta} I \cdot t,$$

since  $\beta$  divides  $\sigma$ .

We are now happy campers. Firstly

$$sb = \text{LhS}(7)\text{LhS}(6) \equiv_{\beta} I^2tc = -tc$$

as (5 upper) wanted. Secondly

$$Itb = \text{RhS}(7)\text{LhS}(6) \equiv_{\beta} sIc.$$

Dividing by  $I$  yields (5 lower), as desired. *Neat!*  $\blacklozenge$

**Happy conclusion.** We have an algorithm for computing SOTS pairs, given an algorithm that factors over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . So the above now gives us an effective *algorithm* for factoring a Gaussian integer.

In particular, since there are fast algorithms for determining if an integer is irreducible (prime), we now have a fast algorithm for telling if a gaussint is  $\mathbb{G}$ -irreducible.  $\square$

### $\mathbb{G}$ -primeness

It turns out that  $\mathbb{G}$  is a *Euclidean domain*, which implies it is a *unique factorization domain*. So the Gaussian-primes are simply the Gaussian-irreducibles.

**Whoa! Put a proof in when convenient.**

Filename: Problems/NumberTheory/gaussints.tex

As of: Monday 17Apr2006. Typeset: 1Jun2018 at 16:59.