

Flat stacks, Joining-Closure and Genericity

Jonathan L.F. King
 University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA
 squash@ufl.edu
 Webpage <http://www.math.ufl.edu/~squash/>
 13 June, 2013 (at 08:10)

ABSTRACT: In the usual Halmos topology on the group of transformations (\mathbb{Z} -actions), we show that a joinings version of the Weak-Closure Theorem holds for the “generic T ” (that is, for a residual set of T). Specifically, the closure of the set of off-diagonal self-joinings of T is the *full* simplex of self-joinings. This also holds for infinite-dimensional self-joinings.

An ingredient in the proof is showing that if T has “flat stacks”, then the off-diagonal self-joinings are dense in the set of *ergodic* self-joinings. A second ingredient is a combinatorial lemma, fancifully called the “Chameleon lemma”, involving words and blockings.

§A Introduction

In the past two decades it has become evident that properties of the self-joinings (henceforth just called “joinings”) of a transformation provide important tools-of-classification of such maps. My purpose here, *Strong Joining-Closure*, theorem 4, is to show that a certain “joinings extension” of the *Weak-Closure Theorem* holds generically.

Section A and §B transmogrify the problem to a discrete combinatorial statement, the *Chameleon Lemma* of §C, which is dispatched in §D. Here is a bird’s eye view.

§Outline

A Introduction	A1
Weak-Closure Theorem	A2
EJCI Theorem	A2
SJCI Theorem	A2

AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 28D05. Secondary: 54E52. *Key words and phrases:* Joinings, Baire category, Flat stacks, Weak closure theorem.

Words & Blockings	A2
Rank-1 and Flat Stacks	A3
Criteria for rank-1 and flat stacks	A4
Rotation FS Theorem	A4
Joinings	A5
Graph and Diagonal Joinings	A5
Self-joinings of a transformation	A6
Ergodic joinings	A6
Topologies on $\Omega(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$	A6
Standard topology on $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$	B7
Finite-order suffices	B7
B Tools	B7
Typicality Lemma	B7
Establishing the EJCI Theorem	B8
Shift-words	B8
FS Uniformity Lemma	B9
Reduction of SJCI to 2-mixtures	B9
C Strong Joining-Closure is Ω-residual	C10
Prolegomenon	C10
Frequencies estimate a diagonal joining	C11
Conditional proof of SJCI Theorem	C11
Word Combinatorics	C11
Chameleon Lemma	D12
Frequency Mixture Corollary	D12
D Reformulating the Chameleon Lemma	D12
Blockings revisited	D13
Joint-word Prop'n	D13
Swimming Pools	D13
Gym-shift Prop'n	D13
Same-Shift Prop'n	D14
Nested Gym Construction	D14
Blocked-Chameleon Lemma	D14
Composition Prop'n	D14
Proof of Blocked-Chameleon for $\mathbb{D}=4$	E15
E End-Notes	E15

A *measure* will mean “Lebesgue probability measure”. We will work on a non-atomic measure space (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) with a (measure-preserving) map $T: X \rightarrow X$ which is invertible^{†1}. Let $\Omega = \Omega(\mu)$ denote the group, under composition, of such transformations. We have subgroups $\Omega \supset \mathbf{C}(T) \supset \mathbb{Z}(T)$. Here $\mathbf{C}(T)$, the *commutant* of T , comprises those transformations S such that $ST = TS$, and $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ means the set $\{T^e\}_{e \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of powers of T .

^{†1}It turns out that the maps which commute with a rank-1 must necessarily be invertible, [?]. For this reason, there will be no loss of generality in considering only invertible transformations.

Idiosyncrasies. Expressions “ $d =: s$ ” and “ $s := d$ ” each mean that d is the definition of symbol s .

For real numbers, let “ b exceeds c ” mean $b > c$, whereas the weaker $b \geq c$ condition is “ b dominates c ”. Say that b is δ -close to c , written $b \overset{\delta}{\approx} c$, if $|b - c| < \delta$. For vectors \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} over a common index set, say \mathbf{b} is δ -close to \mathbf{c} if each $b_i \overset{\delta}{\approx} c_i$. For equal length words \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} , let $\mathbf{B} \overset{\delta}{\approx} \mathbf{C}$ mean that $\bar{d}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}) < \delta$. (“Word” and “ \bar{d} -distance” are defined below.)

An interval of integers $[a .. b)$ means $[a, b) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, with analogous notation for open/closed intervals. Use \mathbb{N} for $[0 .. \infty)$ and use $[0 .. \infty]$ for $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. For G a set, let $\#G$ mean its cardinality; e.g $\#\text{Stooges} = 3$.

Employ notation $G^{\times K}$ to mean the K -fold cartesian power of a set, partition, field (σ -algebra), or transformation. Let Id be the identity transformation.

I will first state three theorems, defining later the terms *rank-1*, *flat stacks*, and the set $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$ of *joinings*. Also postponed are the definitions of the standard topologies on Ω and $\mathcal{J}(\mu)$. Agree to $\text{Cl}(\cdot)$ for the closure operator on each of these two spaces.

1: Weak-Closure Theorem ([?, P.365]). Suppose that T is rank-1. Then

$$\heartsuit 1: \quad \mathbf{C}(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Z}(T)),$$

where $\text{Cl}()$ is the closure operator on Ω . ◇

An analog of the sets $\mathbf{C}(T)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(T)$ are the sets $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Gra}}^2(T)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^2(T)$ of 2-fold “graph” and “diagonal” joinings of T . In the language of joinings, then, ($\heartsuit 1$) can be written as

$$\heartsuit 1': \quad \mathcal{J}_{\text{Gra}}^2(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^2(T)).$$

The graph joinings are a special case of ergodic joinings, suggesting a question raised in [?] a decade and a half ago.

2: Question. If T is rank-1, must

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{Erg}}^2(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^2(T))?$$
 ◇

While still unresolved, the conclusion is known for the “flat stacks” maps, a subset of RANK-1. Indeed for flat stacks it holds for ∞ -order.

3: EJCI Theorem (Ergodic Joining-Closure). Suppose that T has flat stacks. Then

$$\heartsuit 2: \quad \mathcal{J}_{\text{Erg}}^\infty(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^\infty(T)).$$

I.e, each ergodic ∞ -order self-joining is a limit of diagonal joinings. ◇

Ergodic joinings comprise the extreme points of the simplex of *all* joinings. By beefing up $\mathcal{J}_{\text{Erg}}^\infty(T)$ to the full simplex, the theorem below strengthens ($\heartsuit 2$), but at a cost. The price paid is to conclude this, alas, no longer for all flat-stack maps, but only for a residual set of such T .

4: SJCI Theorem (Strong Joining-Closure). The generic T has the inclusion

$$\heartsuit 3: \quad \mathcal{J}_\infty(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^\infty(T)).$$

Each ∞ -order self-joining η (not necessarily ergodic) is a limit of diagonal joinings. ◇

For a dimension $\mathfrak{D} \in [2 .. \infty]$, if T satisfies

$$\heartsuit 2': \quad \mathcal{J}_{\text{Erg}}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T)), \text{ respectively,}$$

$$\heartsuit 3': \quad \mathcal{J}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T) \subset \text{Cl}(\mathcal{J}_{\text{Dia}}^{\mathfrak{D}}(T)),$$

then say that T is \mathfrak{D} -ergodic-dense, respectively, \mathfrak{D} -simplex-dense.

Words & Blockings

Over a (finite) alphabet P , an L -word H is a sequence

$$H = h_0 h_1 \cdots h_i \cdots h_{L-1}$$

of letters $h_i \in P$. Use $H(i) = h_i$ for the i^{th} letter in a word. Notation $H[3 .. 6) = h_3 h_4 h_5$ indicates a substring. The length $\text{Len}(H)$, above, is L . An expression such as $H \stackrel{\text{Len}}{\leq} 5$ indicates that $\text{Len}(H) \leq 5$.

For H a letter or word, let $H^{\otimes \mathfrak{K}}$ be the word formed by concatenating \mathfrak{K} copies of H , e.g. $[zb]^{\otimes 3}$ is $z b z b z b$. Also, in characterizing flat-stacks and in the words arguments of §C, §D, the symbol “ \mathfrak{K} ” will always denote a positive integer. It is called a *repetition number*.

Let “ $H \oplus e$ ” denote H shifted (i.e, rotated) by e positions. Define this by example for an L -word H :

$$H \oplus 3 = h_3 h_4 h_5 \dots h_{L-2} h_{L-1} h_0 h_1 h_2.$$

Evidently $H \oplus [e+L]$ equals $H \oplus e$.

Joint-words. Given L -words B and C , let $\left\| \begin{array}{c} B \\ C \end{array} \right\|$ denote the *joint-word* over alphabet $P^{\times 2}$ consisting of letter-pairs. As a 3-dimensional example, if H is $abcde12345$ then

$$\left\| \begin{array}{c} H \\ H \oplus 18 \\ H \oplus 1 \end{array} \right\| = \begin{array}{c} abcde12345 \\ 45abcde123 \\ bcde12345a \end{array}$$

over the alphabet $P^{\times 3}$. A \mathfrak{D} -tuple \vec{e} of integers $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{D}-1})$ will be called a *shift*. A word H and shift \vec{e} determine a *shift-word*,

$$5: \quad H^{\vec{e}} := \left\| \begin{array}{c} H \oplus e_0 \\ H \oplus e_1 \\ \vdots \\ H \oplus e_{\mathfrak{D}-1} \end{array} \right\|.$$

Length Convention. For words we use symbols H, A, B, C, D . A script version, $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$, automatically denotes the length of the corresponding word. Use L as a general length.

To avoid trivialities, all word-lengths are positive. (Some definitions fail for the empty word.)

The \bar{d} -bar metric. The \bar{d} -distance between two L -words is $\bar{d}(B, C) := \frac{\#G}{L}$, where G is the set of indices i with $b_i \neq c_i$.

Blockings. Consider a set $G \subset \mathbb{N}$. Given a length $L \in \mathbb{N}$, let “the density of G in $[0..L]$ ” mean

$$\text{Den}_L(G) := \#(G \cap [0..L])/L.$$

Write $\underline{\text{Den}}(G)$ for the *lower density* of G in \mathbb{N} ; it is

$$\liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \text{Den}_L(G).$$

Define *upper density* $\overline{\text{Den}}(G)$ analogously. If $\overline{\text{Den}}(G)$ equals $\underline{\text{Den}}(G)$ then use $\text{Den}(G)$ to denote the common density.

A *blocking* $\Upsilon := ([\ell_i .. r_i])_{i=1}^I$ of an interval $[0..L]$ is a list of intervals with $\ell_i, r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell_i < r_i \leq \ell_{i+1}$ and $\bigcup_1^I [\ell_i .. r_i] \subset [0..L]$. Usually both L and I are ∞ ; define the general case now for use in §C. This Υ is an ε -*blocking* of $[0..L]$ if the lower density of $\bigcup_1^I [\ell_i .. r_i]$ dominates $1-\varepsilon$. An ε -blocking is also called a $[1-\varepsilon]$ -*cover*.

Consider an \mathcal{H} -word H and a name (an infinite string) $x = x[0..\infty)$. A blocking $\Upsilon := ([\ell_i .. \ell_i + \mathcal{H}])_{i=1}^\infty$ is a “ H -blocking” of x if

$$*: \quad x[\ell_i .. \ell_i + \mathcal{H}) = H$$

for each i . Naturally, if $\underline{\text{Den}}(\bigcup \Upsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ then Υ is called an “ ε - H -blocking”. Lastly, retaining the density condition but weakening $(*)$ to

$$x[\ell_i .. \ell_i + \mathcal{H}) \approx^\varepsilon H$$

yields a “ \bar{d} - ε - H -blocking” of x .

Rank-1 and Flat Stacks

Given a Rohlin stack Ξ with \mathcal{H} many levels, let $P=P_\Xi$ be the partition of X into the levels of Ξ and the stack complement $X \setminus \Xi$; so P has $\mathcal{H}+1$ atoms. A map T is *rank-1* if: For each partition Q and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a T -stack $\bar{\Xi}$ whose partition ε -refines Q , i.e

$$6: \quad P \succ_\varepsilon Q.$$