

Cooling

Jonathan L.F. King
 University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA
 squash@ufl.edu
 5 December, 2016 (at 18:03)

Autonomous-DiffyQ Example. Let $u=u(t)$ denote the temperature of a particular object at time t . Newton's law of cooling says there is a proportionality constant \mathbf{k} [depending on the materials] so that

$$1a: \quad \frac{du}{dt} = \mathbf{k} \cdot [u - \mathbf{A}],$$

where \mathbf{A} denotes the ambient temperature.

Using abstract units \textcircled{P} for temPerature, and \textcircled{T} for Time, we have: $u, \mathbf{A} :: \textcircled{P}$ and thus $\mathbf{k} :: 1/\textcircled{T}$. Note that \mathbf{k} will be negative, as the object's tmp will tend toward the ambient tmp.

Scenarios. If \mathbf{A} is constant, then the situation is time-invariant, so the DE is autonomous.

Alternatively, $\mathbf{A}()$ and $u()$ might be linked U.Fncs; e.g, $u(t)$ is the [decreasing] temperature of the ice-cube, melting into your orange juice which is at [increasing] temperature $\mathbf{A}(t)$, both changing. Our (1a) is still an autonomous [time-invariant] DE, but now it relates two unknown-functions.

Alternatively, $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}(t)$ might be a known fnc of time; e.g, we are in the desert, so $\mathbf{A}()$ is periodic with a 24-hour period. Here, (1a) is *not* an autonomous-DE. □

When \mathbf{A} is constant. Here, (1a) is a FOLDE with constant coeff and target fncs. Its general soln is

$$1b: \quad u_\alpha(t) = \mathbf{A} + \alpha e^{\mathbf{k}t}.$$

Note that product $\mathbf{k}t$ is unitless ["dimensionless"] and so is $e^{\mathbf{k}t}$. Thus $\alpha :: \textcircled{P}$. □

Worked example. We remove a cake from a 320 °F oven. Three minutes later we measure the cake's temperature; it has cooled to 220 °F.

When will the cake be at an edible^{♥1} tmp of 100 °F, given that the ambient tmp of the kitchen is 70 °F?

^{♥1}Apparently we can eat foods noticeably hotter than 100 °F.

Step 1. Replace all the quantities by meaningful letters.

Below, I'll employ greek letters Δ and τ for time, and roman letters for temperature. With italic bold-face θ denoting 0min, define the following:

$$\Delta := \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Time-change from} \\ \text{Oven to Measured} \end{array} \right] = 3\text{min}.$$

$$\tau := [\text{Edible time}].$$

$$\mathbf{A} := [\text{Ambient tmp}] = 70^\circ\text{F}.$$

$$V := \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Oven-tmp distance} \\ \text{to ambient} \end{array} \right] = u(\theta) - \mathbf{A} \\ = [320 - 70]^\circ\text{F} = 250^\circ\text{F}.$$

$$M := \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Measured-tmp} \\ \text{dist. to ambient} \end{array} \right] = u(\Delta) - \mathbf{A} \\ = [220 - 70]^\circ\text{F} = 150^\circ\text{F}.$$

$$E := \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{Edible-tmp dist.} \\ \text{to ambient} \end{array} \right] = u(\tau) - \mathbf{A} \\ = [100 - 70]^\circ\text{F} = 30^\circ\text{F}.$$

So $\Delta, \tau :: \textcircled{T}$ and $\mathbf{A}, V, M, E :: \textcircled{P}$.

Step 2. Identify the unknowns, and solve for them. Parameter \mathbf{k} , in the DE, and parameter α , in the soln, are unknown. [We'll get to time τ , later.]

Evaluating (1b) at $t = 0\text{min}$ gives

$$\alpha = \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha \cdot e^{\mathbf{k} \cdot 0} = u(\theta) - \mathbf{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V.$$

Hence

$$1b\ddagger: \quad u(t) = \mathbf{A} + V e^{\mathbf{k}t}.$$

To solve for \mathbf{k} , note the tmp-drop over time Δ is

$$V - M = u(\theta) - u(\Delta) = V \cdot [1 - e^{\mathbf{k}\Delta}].$$

Hence $e^{\mathbf{k}\Delta} = \frac{M}{V}$. Taking logs, then,

$$1c: \quad \mathbf{k} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \cdot \log(M/V).$$

Plugging this in to (1b \ddagger) gives

$$1d: \quad u(t) = \mathbf{A} + V \cdot \left[\frac{M}{V} \right]^{t/\Delta}$$

for the soln to (1a) satisfying the init.conditions.

Step 3. Does the symbolic soln agree with known values? Evaluating $\text{RhS}(1d)$ at $t=0$ gives $\mathbf{A} + V$, which indeed equals $u(0)$, the $\text{LhS}(1d)$.

Evaluating $\text{RhS}(1d)$ at $t=\Delta$ delivers

$$\mathbf{A} + V \cdot \left[\frac{M}{V}\right] = \mathbf{A} + M \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} u(\Delta).$$

Vary the letters in your symbolic soln. Does the resulting answer vary the way the physics of the situation says it should?

E.g, lowering M [retaining all other values] means the cake lost more heat in those same 3 minutes; hence $u()$ should drop in temperature faster. And indeed, a decrease of M makes the smaller-than-one positive number $\frac{M}{V}$ even smaller, making its powers decrease faster.

Step 4. Compute the desired quantities from the symbolic soln, then plug in the actual values.

By its definition, time τ satisfies

$$\mathbf{A} + E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u(\tau) \stackrel{\text{by (1d)}}{=} \mathbf{A} + V \cdot \left[\frac{M}{V}\right]^{\tau/\Delta}.$$

Thus $\left[\frac{M}{V}\right]^{\tau/\Delta} = \frac{E}{V}$. Consequently,

$$\text{1e: } \tau = \Delta \cdot \frac{\log(E/V)}{\log(M/V)} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \Delta \cdot \frac{\log(V/E)}{\log(V/M)}.$$

This τ is a function of E . So, for a temperature-difference x , define

$$*: \quad \tau(x) := \Delta \cdot \frac{\log(V/x)}{\log(V/M)}.$$

We expect...

... $\tau(V)$ to be 0 , since the cake hasn't cooled at all.

... $\tau(M)$ to be Δ , since the cake is at the measured temperature.

... that the limit of $\tau(x)$, as x decreases toward 0°F [i.e, as edible-tmp decreases toward \mathbf{A}], to be $+\infty$; this, since the cake never achieves ambient temperature in finite time.

Reassuringly, all three of these expectations are fulfilled by $\text{RhS}(*)$.

Finally, let's compute an approx. value for $\tau=\tau(E)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= 3\text{min} \cdot \frac{\log(250/30)}{\log(250/150)} = 3\text{min} \cdot \frac{\log(25/3)}{\log(5/3)} \\ &\approx 3\text{min} \cdot 4.15 \approx 13\text{min}. \end{aligned}$$

Filename: Problems/Analysis/Calculus/cooling.tex

As of: Wednesday 14Oct2015. Typeset: 5Dec2016 at 18:03.