

## Completable subspaces

Jonathan L.F. King

University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
squash@ufl.edu

Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>

1 February, 2022 (at 19:38)

ABSTRACT: What subsets of a metric space support a complete metric? [From my topology course.]

### Intro

For two metrics  $d_0, d_1$  on the same set  $\mathbf{X}$ , we use “ $d_0 \prec d_1$ ” to mean that every  $d_0$ -open set is  $d_1$ -open. Let “ $d_0 \simeq d_1$ ” mean that two metrics induce the same topology; we have previously proven that this means the two metrics have the same convergent sequences.

On a metric space  $(\Omega, \mu)$ , say that a subset  $\mathbf{X} \subset \Omega$  is **completable** if there exists a *complete* metric  $d$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ , with  $d \simeq \mu|_{\mathbf{X}}$ . It turns out that the completable subsets can be characterized.

**1: Completeness Theorem.**  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a metric space and  $\mathbf{X} \subset \Omega$ . Then

a:  $\mathbf{X}$  completable  $\implies \mathbf{X}$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\Omega$ .

b: If  $\mu$  is complete then:  $\mathbf{X}$  a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\Omega \implies \mathbf{X}$  is completable.  $\diamond$

**Proof of (a).** In  $\Omega$ , let  $\Omega_\varepsilon(\omega)$  denote the  $\mu$ -ball of radius  $\varepsilon$ , centered at point  $\omega$ . In  $\mathbf{X}$ , let  $B_r(x)$  mean the  $d$ -ball about  $x$ , with radius- $r$ . We make an *assumption* –to be removed later– that  $\mathbf{X}$  is dense in  $\Omega$ . We will construct a collection of closed sets  $\{K_{1/j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  whose union is  $\Omega \setminus \mathbf{X}$ .

**Defining  $K$ .** Fix a positive number  $r$ . Let  $K$  be the set of  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that: For all positive  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$2: \quad d\text{-Diam}(\mathbf{X} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon(\omega)) > 2r.$$

Since  $\mu|_{\mathbf{X}} \simeq d$ , for each  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  there is a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\mathbf{X} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon(x) \subset B_r(x).$$

Thus  $d\text{-Diam}(\mathbf{X} \cap \Omega_\varepsilon(x)) \leq r \cdot 2$  and so  $x \notin K$ . Conclusion:  $K$  is disjoint from  $\mathbf{X}$ .

**$K$  is  $\Omega$ -closed.** For each point  $\zeta$  in the  $\Omega$ -closure of  $K$  and for every  $\varepsilon$ , there is a point  $\omega$  in  $K \cap \Omega_\varepsilon(\zeta)$  for which (2). But  $\Omega_\varepsilon(\omega) \subset \Omega_{2\varepsilon}(\zeta)$ . Thus

$$d\text{-Diam}(\mathbf{X} \cap \Omega_{2\varepsilon}(\zeta)) > r.$$

This holds for all  $\varepsilon$  and so  $\zeta$  is in  $K$ .

**Filling  $\mathbf{X}$  complement.** Indicate the dependence of  $K$  on  $r$  by calling it  $K_r$ . We have shown that

$$V := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{1/j}$$

is an  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -subset of  $\Omega$  which is disjoint from  $\mathbf{X}$ .

We finish the proof by showing that *each*  $\omega$  in  $\Omega \setminus \mathbf{X}$ , is also in  $V$ . Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $\Omega$ -dense, there are points  $x_n \rightarrow \omega$ . But  $d$  is complete and thus  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is not  $d$ -Cauchy. That is,

$$r := \inf_{N \geq 1} d\text{-Diam}(\{x_n\}_{n=N}^\infty)$$

is positive. Taking an integer  $j$  with  $1/j < r$ , we see that  $\omega \in K_{1/j} \subset V$ .

**Removing the assumption.** No longer assume that  $\mathbf{X}$  is dense in  $\Omega$ . Nonetheless, its  $\Omega$ -closure,  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ , is a metric space and so the above shows that  $\mathbf{X}$  is a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ . This implies (exercise!) that  $\mathbf{X} = \overline{\mathbf{X}} \cap G$ , for some  $G$ , a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\Omega$ .

But  $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$  is closed in  $\Omega$  and so it is also a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ -subset of  $\Omega$  (exercise: use that  $\Omega$  is a metric space). Consequently  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{G}_\delta(\Omega)$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of (b).** WLOG  $\mathbf{X}$  is a proper subset of  $\Omega$ . Thus we can write  $\mathbf{X} = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty U_k$ , where each  $U_k$  is an open proper subset of  $\Omega$ .

**Building a pseudo-metric on an open set.** Suppose  $U$  is an open proper subset of  $\Omega$ . We want to “stretch” the metric  $\mu$  near the boundary of  $U$ . Define a function  $f: U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and pseudo-metric  $m$  by

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(x, \Omega \setminus U)} \quad \text{and} \\ m(x, z) := |f(x), f(z)|. \quad (\text{Here, } |\cdot, \cdot| \text{ is the usual metric on } \mathbb{R}.)$$

This  $f$  is cts, so  $m \prec \mu|_U$ . Moreover, if a sequence  $\mathbf{x} := (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset U$  is  $m$ -Cauchy then its image  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is an  $m$ -bounded sequence. Consequently:

**3:** *If a sequence  $\mathbf{x} \subset U$  is  $\mu$ -Cauchy and is  $m$ -Cauchy, then its limit  $\omega := \mu\text{-lim}(\mathbf{x})$  must be in  $U$ .*

This, since  $\mu(\omega, U^c)$  is necessarily positive.

**Building a metric on a  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$  set.** From the above, for each  $k$  there is a pseudo-metric  $\mathfrak{m}_k$  on  $U_k$  satisfying (3). Moreover, a homework problem tells us that the ratio  $\mathfrak{m}_k/[1 + \mathfrak{m}_k]$  is a pseudo-metric which is Cauchy equivalent to  $\mathfrak{m}_k$ . Thus WLOG  $\mathfrak{m}_k$  is bounded. So WLOG the  $\mathfrak{m}_k$ -diameter of  $U_k$  is less than  $1/2^k$ .

Define an extended metric  $\mathfrak{d}$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  by

$$4: \quad \mathfrak{d} := \mu|_{\mathbf{X}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{m}_k|_{\mathbf{X}}.$$

(Since  $\mu$  distinguishes points, so does  $\mathfrak{m}$ .) This sum is everywhere finite, by the summable bound on diameters, and thus  $\mathfrak{d}$  is a metric. Moreover, each  $\mathfrak{m}_k \prec \mu|_{\mathbf{X}}$  and so, by the same homework problem,  $\mathfrak{d} \asymp \mu|_{\mathbf{X}}$ .

To see that  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathfrak{d})$  is complete, suppose that sequence  $\mathbf{x} := (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is  $\mathfrak{d}$ -Cauchy. From (4), then,  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $\mu$ -Cauchy and so  $\omega := \mu\text{-lim}(\mathbf{x})$  exists in  $\Omega$ . But  $\mathbf{x}$  is also  $\mathfrak{m}_k$ -Cauchy and so, by (3), this  $\omega$  is in  $U_k$ . This holds for each  $k$  and so  $\omega \in \mathbf{X}$ . And since  $\mathfrak{d} \asymp \mu|_{\mathbf{X}}$  we know that  $x_n \rightarrow \omega$  holds also in the  $\mathfrak{d}$ -metric.  $\blacklozenge$

Filename: Problems/Topology/completable.tex  
As of: Tuesday 14Feb2006. Typeset: 1Feb2022 at 19:38.